

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES
GILA COUNTY, ARIZONA**

Date: September 29, 2015

MICHAEL A. PASTOR

Chairman

MARIAN E. SHEPPARD

Clerk of the Board

TOMMIE C. MARTIN

Vice-Chairman

By: Laurie J. Kline
Deputy Clerk

JOHN D. MARCANTI

Member

Gila County Courthouse
Globe, Arizona

PRESENT: Michael A. Pastor, Chairman; Tommie C. Martin, Vice-Chairman (via ITV); John D. Marcanti, Member; Don E. McDaniel, Jr., County Manager; Michael Scannell, Deputy County Manager; Jefferson R. Dalton, Deputy County Attorney and Civil Bureau Chief; Marian E. Sheppard, Clerk of the Board; and Laurie J. Kline, Deputy Clerk.

Item 1 – CALL TO ORDER - PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Gila County Board of Supervisors met in a work session at 10:00 a.m. this date in the Board of Supervisors' hearing room. Michael Scannell led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Item 2 – REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS

A. Information/Discussion to consider alternative courses of action for the most timely and cost effective completion of the Copper Administration Building Project.

Don McDaniel, County Manager, prefaced this agenda item by stating that no action is required to be taken by the Board today. He then provided background information of the events that have transpired thus far with respect to the Copper Administration Building Project. He explained that staff formed the Copper Administration Building Project Team (CABPT) which consists of the newly hired Deputy County Manager, Finance Director, and Public Works Director. The CABPT determined that the \$1.95M budget was insufficient to complete the project as planned; therefore, two options were presented to the BOS for its consideration. Mr. McDaniel advised that the CABPT recommended that the Board adopt "Option B," which is a Job Order Contract (JOC) methodology. He stated that this option would eliminate costly change orders, provides value engineering, and would increase the probability of delivering a project that will be fully functional and within a revised budget.

Another advantage of choosing Option B is that it gives the County the ability to utilize the State of Arizona contract with The Gordian Group. Staff is proposing to place an item on the Board of Supervisors' regular meeting agenda of October 6, 2015, with the following recommended Board actions: 1) Reject all bids submitted for the Copper Administration Building renovation project which item was tabled at the Board's meeting of September 1, 2015; 2) Approve Option "B" utilizing the Job Order Contract method of construction; 3) Approve a budget amendment transferring \$1.2M from Capital Projects Reserves to the Copper Administration Building remodel project; and, 4) Endorse the continuation of the Copper Administration Building Project Team's involvement for the duration of the project. Vice-Chairman Martin inquired if this change would adversely affect any other projects. Mr. McDaniel replied that other projects are individually budgeted individually, so this change would not have an impact on other projects in Globe or in Payson. Vice-Chairman Martin stated that Option B is a good recommendation as it will enable the County to address problems that may arise sooner than later.

Supervisor Marcanti inquired whether EPS Group was hired to complete the civil engineering work or the blue print plan design for the inside of the building. Steve Sanders, Public Works Division Director, replied that EPS Group was hired to do site design only and that the inside design work was done by Caryn Paige, the County's internal architect. Supervisor Marcanti asked for confirmation that Pueblo Mechanical was contracted for \$13,000 to complete the engineering for the HVAC duct work inside the building. Shannon Coons, Public Works Fiscal Services Manager, replied that Supervisor Marcanti was correct, and added that most likely Ms. Paige was not comfortable designing the HVAC duct work inside the building; therefore, those particular services were contracted with an outside company.

Jeff Hessenius, Finance Division Director, clarified that the way the process would work is the County would engage with a contractor and because of the way that the contract is designed at the Arizona State Procurement Office, it is required that the contractor use The Gordian Group. The Gordian Group would essentially be the construction manager to manage the procurement and the value engineering and the interaction with the contractor throughout the process. Mr. Hessenius stated that he believes the intent would be to utilize SD Crane as that company has already invested time and expense into the development of the interior of the building and their name is listed as a contractor on the job order contract vehicle through the Arizona State Procurement Office. He stated that it is in the best interest of the County to utilize SD Crane along with The Gordian Group. Chairman Pastor confirmed that the County would not have to send out another request for proposal. Mr. Hessenius affirmed that he was correct.

The Board held a discussion and was amenable to utilizing Option B in order to hopefully decrease the cost of the Copper Administration Building Project, as

well as reduce the completion time, and ensure good management of the project, overall.

Jeff Dalton, Gila County Deputy County Attorney and Civil Bureau Chief, inquired as to the name of the contractor to be awarded the contract. Mr. Hessenius replied that the County would choose the contractor; however, that contractor would be required to work with The Gordian Group, which manages the procurement process for the project.

Chairman Pastor wanted to be assured that any Capital Reserves Funds utilized for the Copper Administration Building Project are to be re-deposited into that fund as quickly as possible. Mr. McDaniel acknowledged the request. Chairman Pastor then directed that this item be placed on the October 6th agenda for action.

B. Information/Discussion to consider the list of issues/proposals to be presented at the annual County Supervisors Association's (CSA) Legislative Summit on October 13-15, 2015, in Lake Havasu City to be considered by Arizona's county supervisors for inclusion in CSA's 2016 Legislative Agenda.

Mr. McDaniel provided an overview of legislative proposals to be considered at the upcoming October 13-15, 2015 CSA Legislative Summit. The recommendation for each is as follows:

1. Public Safety Personnel Retirement System Expenditure Limitations Exclusion proposed by Maricopa and Cochise Counties-Gila County supports the proposal.
2. Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections Closure proposed by Pima County-Gila County supports the proposal.
3. County Library Expenditures proposed by Santa Cruz County-Gila County has no recommendation.
4. Outside Counsel for Civil Actions proposed by Santa Cruz and La Paz Counties-Gila County has no recommendation.
5. Real Property Disposal proposed by Pinal County-Gila County has no recommendation.
6. Primitive Parks proposed by Pinal County-Gila County has no recommendation.
7. Expenditure Limitation Exclusion of Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Funds proposed by La Paz County-Gila County supports the proposal.
8. Truth in Taxation Notice Enhancement proposed by Gila County.
9. Regional Transit Authority Membership proposed by Pinal County-Gila County supports the proposal.
10. Eliminate Disincorporation/Reincorporation Statute proposed by Cochise County-Gila County supports the proposal.

11. Building Code Records proposed by Cochise County-Gila County supports the proposal.
12. Transferred Youth Holding proposed by Cochise County-Gila County has no recommendation.
13. Outside Auditor Authority proposed by Gila County.
14. Eliminate County Disproportionate Uncompensated Care Pool Payments proposed by Coconino County-Gila County supports the proposal.
15. Transportation Revenues proposed by Coconino County-Gila County supports the proposal.
16. Governor's Film and Media Office proposed by Coconino County-Gila County has no recommendation.
17. Compensation for Natural Resource Usage proposed by La Paz County-Gila County has no recommendation.
18. Regulated Utilities License Fee proposed by Mohave County-Gila County has no recommendation.
19. County Abatements; Property Liens proposed by Mohave County-Gila County supports the proposal.
20. Supermajority to Levy County Excise Taxes proposed by Mohave County-Gila County is not in support of the proposal.
21. Study of the Feasibility of the Transfer of Public Lands proposed by Mohave County-Gila County has no recommendation.
22. Resources for Juvenile Dependency Representation proposed by Mohave County-Gila County supports the proposal.
23. Intergovernmental Public Transportation Authority Taxation Authority proposed by Yuma County-Gila County supports the proposal.
24. Planning and Zoning Notices proposed by Arizona Association of County Planning Directors-Gila County supports the proposal.

Vice-Chairman Martin stated that there will be another item for discussion regarding the Planet Ranch located in Parker, Arizona. [The Bureau of Reclamation is presently negotiating with Freeport McMoRan (FMI) to secure approximately 3,418 acres of Planet Ranch, primarily along the river corridor, and 4,668 acre-feet of water rights. The appraised value of the land and water is \$8,300,000.] She stated that Yavapai County is in support of FMI whereas Mohave County is not in support of FMI and it is attempting to weigh in on which entity will get the water distribution. She stated that this proposal pits counties against each other and that CSA has spoken with "water attorneys" who advise against getting involved in this matter.

Item 3 – CALL TO THE PUBLIC: Call to the Public is held for public benefit to allow individuals to address the Board of Supervisors on any issue within the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. Board members may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statute §38-431.01(H), at the conclusion of an open call to the public, individual members of the Board of Supervisors may respond to criticism made by those who have

addressed the Board, may ask staff to review a matter or may ask that a matter be put on a future agenda for further discussion and decision at a future date.

There were no requests to speak from the public.

Item 4 – At any time during this meeting pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02(K), members of the Board of Supervisors and the County Manager may present a brief summary of current events. No action may be taken on issues presented.

Each Board member presented information on current events. Mr. McDaniel offered no comments at this time.

There being no further business to come before the Board of Supervisors, Chairman Pastor adjourned the meeting at 11:19 a.m.

APPROVED:



Michael A. Pastor, Chairman

ATTEST:



Marian Sheppard, Clerk of the Board