

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES  
GILA COUNTY, ARIZONA**

Date: November 15, 2011

**TOMMIE C. MARTIN**  
Chairman

**JOHN F. NELSON**  
Clerk of the Board

**SHIRLEY L. DAWSON**  
Vice-Chairman

By: Marilyn Brewer  
Deputy Clerk

**MICHAEL A. PASTOR**  
Member

Gila County Courthouse  
Globe, Arizona

---

PRESENT: Tommie C. Martin, Chairman (via ITV conferencing); Shirley L. Dawson, Vice-Chairman; Michael A. Pastor, Supervisor; Don McDaniel, Jr., County Manager; John Nelson, Deputy County Manager/Clerk (via ITV conferencing); Marian Sheppard, Chief Deputy Clerk; and Daisy Flores, County Attorney.

**Item 1 – Call to Order – Pledge of Allegiance – Invocation**

The Gila County Board of Supervisors met in a regular session at 10:00 a.m. this date in the Board of Supervisors hearing room. Shirley Dawson led the Pledge of Allegiance and Debora Savage delivered the invocation.

**Item 2 – REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS:**

**2A. Supervisors' Annual Property Tax Sale/Auction for the sale of real property deeded to the state of Arizona by Treasurer's Deed in the year 2011.**

Vice-Chairman Dawson, speaking on behalf of Chairman Martin for the auction portion of the meeting, advised the public of the bidding procedures. There were 33 properties listed for sale at today's auction. She advised the audience of the statutory process whereby any newly deeded properties must be advertised for sale although the Board is not obligated to sell every parcel. She further advised that the minimum acceptable bid would be the total lien amount as listed on the tax sale list and that all bids are final and no warranties or guarantees as to the condition of the property are given by the County. The Board addressed each of the 33 parcels separately. The auction results were as follows:

Tax Parcel #	Lien Amount	Sale Amount	Purchaser's Name
101-07-021-A	\$2,740.94	--	--

101-12-087-A	\$850.82	\$850.82	Sold to Randall Bohl
101-12-087-B	\$951.04	\$951.04	Sold to Randall Bohl
206-06-060	\$1,551.12	--	--
206-19-502	\$1,632.72	--	--
206-21-104-A	\$2,348.65	--	--
206-21-515	\$2,139.83	--	--
206-21-518	\$1,623.58	--	--
206-21-519	\$1,623.58	--	--
206-21-520	\$1,623.58	--	--
206-21-521	\$1,623.58	--	--
206-21-522	\$1,620.38	--	--
206-21-523	\$1,620.38	--	--
206-21-524	\$1,620.38	--	--
206-21-525	\$1,620.38	--	--
206-21-526	\$1,620.38	--	--
206-21-527	\$1,620.38	--	--
206-22-500	\$1,462.16	--	--
207-08-221	\$1,620.22	\$1,620.22	Sold to Rodney Jones
208-03-154	\$410.36	--	--
302-23-109-B	\$1,766.35	--	--
302-60-193	\$873.38	--	--
302-60-194	\$563.38	--	--
302-60-195	\$4,213.77	--	--
302-60-196	\$563.38	--	--
302-60-197	\$912.86	--	--
302-60-198-A	\$931.74	--	--
302-60-200-C	\$693.82	--	--
302-60-202	\$2,373.31	--	--
302-60-203	\$952.58	--	--
302-60-204	\$3,282.56	--	--
302-60-205-E	\$4,770.56	--	--
304-01-314-C	\$402.16	--	--

Chairman Martin made the motion to approve the sale of tax parcel number 101-12-087-A to Randall Bohl in the amount of \$850.82. Chairman Martin then made the motion to approve the sale of tax parcel number 101-12-087-B to Randall Bohl in the amount of \$951.04. It was noted on the list of parcels for sale that both of the above noted parcels must be sold together. Vice-Chairman Dawson then called for a vote for both motions. Both motions were unanimously approved by the Board.

Upon motion by Supervisor Pastor, seconded by Chairman Martin, the Board unanimously approved the sale of tax parcel number 207-08-221 to Rodney Jones in the amount of \$1,620.22.

Vice-Chairman Dawson closed the Supervisors' Annual Property Tax Sale/Auction.

**2B. Information/Discussion/Action to review all proposals submitted for Request for Proposals No. 033011-1 for merchant services; reject or award to the lowest, responsible and qualified bidder.**

Joseph Heatherly, Finance Director, requested that the Board reject all proposals that were submitted to the County because recently several questions have arisen internally and all departments need to be reviewed. Since the Request for Proposals was advertised, the Justice of the Peace chose another option, which takes away from the volume as the bid prices are volume-driven. Mr. Heatherly stated that he would probably go out for bids again around the first of the year. Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Dawson, seconded by Supervisor Pastor, the Board unanimously rejected all of the proposals submitted for Request for Proposals No. 033011-1 for merchant services.

**2C. Information/Discussion/Action to review all bids submitted for Request for Bids No. 070111-1 for Janitorial Service; award to the lowest, responsible and qualified bidder; and authorize the Chairman's signature on the award contract for the winning bidder.**

Mr. Heatherly stated that 12 requests for bids were sent out and 2 were received back. He recommended that a contract be awarded for janitorial services for the facilities located in Globe to G.B. Home Cleaning as this company was the lowest bidder, references were provided and the bid was reviewed by staff from the Public Works Division. Supervisor Pastor inquired if this company would be hiring the temporary County employees that were hired during the interim. Mr. Heatherly replied that this was suggested to the company owner, who stated that they will consider hiring these employees; however, the County cannot tell them who to hire. The Board discussed the costs, which Mr. Heatherly stated are a little bit complicated because some of the additional duties are required quarterly while others are semi-yearly, but the approximate cost would be \$105,000 per year. Chairman Martin noted that the other bid received was approximately \$3,000 more per month. Upon motion by Supervisor Pastor, seconded by Vice-Chairman Dawson, the Board unanimously awarded a contract to G.B. Home Cleaning in the amount of \$6,536.43 per month for Request for Bids No. 070111-1 for Janitorial Service and authorized the Chairman's signature on the award contract.

**2D. Information/Discussion/Action to approve the Solar Renewable Energy Credit Purchase Agreement - Grid-Tied Photovoltaic System (APS Contract No. 18703) between Gila County and Arizona Public Service Company for solar energy funding in conjunction with PV Advanced Concepts at no up-front capital investment by Gila County for the County's Central Heights complex.**

Steve Stratton, Public Works Division Director, provided a summary to the Board on this item. He stated that in the spring of this year he came before the Board requesting approval to submit several grant applications to Arizona Public Service (APS). One was awarded at that time for 200 kilowatts (kW) at the Globe Courthouse and those solar panels would be placed on parking structures. The Board accepted that grant and then a contract was negotiated with Tioga Energy for the installation to provide the electricity to the County at \$.08/kW per hour. Shortly thereafter Mr. Stratton returned to the Board and requested approval to submit grant applications for the Central Heights and Public Works complexes. The County has now been awarded a grant for Central Heights, which is the item being requested for approval today. Mr. Stratton further advised that he will be returning for Board approval in December on an amended agreement with Tioga Energy. He explained that the County has held up the purchase of the Courthouse materials so that it could be put in the same order with the material for this project, which will further lower the County's cost per kW to \$.077 per hour rather than the \$.08. Mr. Stratton explained that this item is to merely accept the grant from APS and authorize the Chairman to sign the forms. Then hopefully on December 6, 2011, Mr. Stratton stated that he will be back before the Board with the agreement with Tioga Energy and that will conclude this current round of solar energy projects so the work can begin. He noted that these solar panels will also be placed on parking structures at the Central Heights complex and will produce 300 kW. Supervisor Pastor inquired if since these 2 projects are different grants, will the County be able to combine the money to buy material for the whole project or will the County be restricted? Mr. Stratton replied that the County will not be restricted and that is the reason the energy rate will go down because the County will get a better deal by ordering all of the necessary equipment for the installation of both projects at one time, plus there's only one mobilization charge by Kitchell Construction Company, which is the construction contractor. Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Dawson, seconded by Supervisor Pastor, the Board unanimously approved the Solar Renewable Energy Credit Purchase Agreement - Grid-Tied Photovoltaic System (APS Contract No. 18703) between Gila County and Arizona Public Service Company for solar energy funding in conjunction with PV Advanced Concepts at no up-front capital investment by Gila County for the County's Central Heights complex.

**2E. Information/Discussion/Action to authorize the issuance of a quit claim deed transferring ownership of a parcel of land in Globe used for the Gila Pueblo Community College Campus and the westerly 32.459 acres of a parcel of land in Payson used for the Gila Community College Campus from Gila County to Gila Community College, a Provisional Community College District pursuant to A.R.S. §15-1409. (Don McDaniel)**

Don McDaniel, County Manager, stated that the Board has had the opportunity to discuss this item in a couple of past meetings in which the Board decided it

would be appropriate to proceed with quit claiming these 2 college campus properties to the Gila County (Provisional ) Community College District (GCCCD). This item includes a quit claim deed for the entire Gila Pueblo Campus in Globe and for about two-thirds of the Payson Campus for a total of approximately 32.5 acres. Mr. McDaniel recalled that the Board has until January 2013 by special legislation to accomplish this transfer of property. Since it was the original intent of the State College District Board to have these properties under the ownership of the community colleges, he recommended that this be approved by the Board. Chairman Martin advised that there were 2 people present who requested to address the Board. Supervisor Pastor stated that he attended the GCCCD governing board meeting last week and the members had several questions and there was a lot of serious discussion. He noted that Tom Loeffler, a GCCCD governing board member, was present at today's meeting in Payson and requested that Mr. Loeffler speak about the issues. Mr. Loeffler, also a resident of Payson, stated that GCCCD President Bob Ashford could not attend today's meeting and requested that he represent the Board at this meeting. Mr. Loeffler noted that the Board of Supervisors received a copy of the GCCCD's resolution that was passed at its special meeting on November 10, 2011. He emphasized that the second "Whereas" in the resolution basically stated that the GCCCD board does support the selling of land to the Rim Country Education Alliance Separate Legal Entity (SLE) for the purpose of their endeavor to bring a 4-year college to the Payson area. He stated, "The discrepancy I believe is between the agenda that you have today and our motion, our resolution. At our work session on October 3, 2011, between the GCCCD governing board and the Gila County Board of Supervisors, we heard a presentation from Mayor Evans (of Payson) requesting that he would be interested in purchasing about 9-10 acres of our campus property for their 4-year university-phase 1. Subsequent to that on October 15, 2011, at your regular board meeting, agenda item 3J, I believe it was, and this was passed as I found out yesterday, you (the Board of Supervisors) were talking about an approximate 15 acres going towards the SLE purchase and approximately 38 acres would stay and become the northern campus for the GCCCD. We took the motion that was passed and worked off of that acreage and tried to do some of our homework and using some of the projections that others have given us to come up with the acreage that we thought was necessary in Payson to accommodate the potential of a number of students 20 years down the road. So we worked off of those numbers, those approximate numbers. It wasn't until our special meeting on November 10, 2011, that we learned that those numbers had been altered. I know it says approximate, but it looked like from what we understand that it would be almost approximately 22 acres that your motion or your endeavor was looking at making available for the SLE. That, according to my calculations, is about a 45% increase from what your motion was on October 18, 2011, which to me is a little bit outside the realm of approximate. We did discuss this at our board meeting and the conclusion was that we believe that the 15 acres, which was the original concept we were working off of, was an accurate figure and that remaining portion was something that we felt we needed for expansion in the future. I

can go into the reasons why we thought the acreage was important, but I will stop here and answer any questions that you might have.” Chairman Martin then called on Mike Vogel, Chairman of the SLE and a resident of Payson. Mr. Vogel stated that in regard to the Payson property on the far-east side, it narrows down considerably to the point where most of it is useless and also take into account that the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) has a right-of-way along the side. There is no way to go north because of a water tank sitting there so basically that part is useless. Mr. Vogel stated that in regard to the balance of the property, “The SLE actually only wanted 10 acres to start with and the properties that are going to be left are unbuildable or so extremely expensive that it won’t happen. And, by the way, I talked to the Mayor (Evans) and he is in a meeting currently with Arizona State University (ASU) and if you want to talk to him I can get him on the phone and he is willing to step out and respond. The 22 acres actually came from the County. It did not come from the SLE; in fact, tentatively speaking, nothing has been discussed with the SLE. I understand there’s a problem and again I don’t believe newspapers, but apparently there’s a problem with the appraisal. The SLE had nothing to do with hiring either one of the appraisers. But when you start taking an account of the property to the east and the shoulder or right-of-way for ADOT, you can see how that portion is basically worth nothing. It’s just too narrow and we’re not able to go north. As far as planning, since day one we have always said that we plan on working with GCCCD; in fact, I’ve mentioned in a couple of places that at times when we started talking about dormitories, we fully expect to have to work with GCCCD and they would be welcome. I do believe again once we get into it, I believe that there can be agreements for expansion. If the educational building isn’t being used, I see no reason why GCCCD can’t use it. So the SLE, from 4 years ago, has continually talked about working with GCCCD. Not one time have we ever talked about anything but that, so the 22 acres does work for us. There is a 10-acre parcel in there and I forget the name of the road where they want the line drawn.” Chairman Martin responded that the name of the road is Graham Ranch Road. Mr. Vogel continued stating, “We don’t have a problem with that, but we do need to have 10 full buildable acres. That’s what’s required to make this thing work. So if you have questions, I’ll answer anything I can and if you want I can call up the Mayor and he’ll step out long enough to respond to any questions of him.” Vice-Chairman Dawson stated, “On the east corner of this property, Mr. Vogel and others have said, ‘Well you can’t build on it,’ but the master drawings that Mayor Evans had, and I discussed with him the Buttes at that other university (ASU). There’s a hotel in the Buttes and on the map that Mayor Evans presented on the master plan he does plan to develop a hotel site in there.” Mr. Vogel responded by stating to Vice-Chairman Dawson, “Understand that this is in motion, but as of about 2 months ago or maybe even a month ago that hotel went away because we did not want to purchase that private land. On the south side, that hotel is still going, but on the north side that has been taken off the board. And even with that if you look at the tank, we had problems with that. We would end up having to purchase the entire 40 some acres plus or minus and to date we have chosen not to do that.”

Chairman Martin explained, "What I think I also understand about this design is that to get the 10 useful acres, it will take the 22 acres to do that. To get the right-of-way taken out and to get that eastern most tiny little triangle taken out, it will take the 22 to get them the 10 useful acres. That's one thing that it does. The second thing that it does is that there continues to be political pressure on the north side of this property about this Graham Ranch Road, whether a road will go through there or not go through there. And I know that the current mayor has said that as long as he is mayor we won't have a road, but that lasts as long as he is the mayor. The piece of property, that last piece through there, has also been discussion from the Town (of Payson) requiring whoever holds it to have an easement there for a road, for a potential future road. I think that's something that the GCCCD doesn't need to bear the weight of those politics of whether or not there's a road that runs down the side. That can be something between the SLE and the Town, and the GCCCD gets paid for that piece of property. I think the SLE is hanging out in a generous way willing to buy that eastern-most sliver. I believe that's what makes, and I'm going to mix apples and oranges here for a minute, I think that is one of the things that decreases the price of that appraisal we had was including that eastern-most sliver. And yet rather than sitting there with a sliver that we can do nothing with and then this SLE in the middle of it and then again the college on the other side, that it's a better all around deal to have the SLE pay for that sliver that they are not going to use at this point. We're not going to certainly do something with it once we take the center out of it, and that's just more of the Graham Ranch Road line simply squaring up between the 2 of them, an existing situation that the Town and the SLE can decide whether or not they go forward with a road there or not. And that's the only other piece I would add to this conversation for a comment and wondered if you all have any other comments or questions on this." Supervisor Pastor commented, "Right now the agenda item is addressing just the quit claim deed transfer, the ownership for GCCCD Globe campus and the 32.459 acres of the GCCCD Payson campus. I don't believe that the agenda allows us to even really be discussing the remaining 20 acres because that's a different item. Are we dealing with the SLE; are we dealing with the city of Payson? Right now we are just discussing the quit claim deed transfer of those 2 parcels of land and the 22 acres aren't even involved in this agenda item, the way that I look at it." Chairman Martin inquired if Supervisor Pastor was ready to make a motion. Mr. Pastor replied, "Yes, and just so everybody is clear on the point, I think the discussion for the remaining acreage that we are talking about is something that needs to be continued in the discussion that we are having about the ASU-Payson development plan and where we are going with it because I don't think anything is set in stone as far as I can find out. Still, I'm waiting on answers and I don't have any sound information to indicate that this project is going to move soon or going to move later. I would encourage us to just go ahead and make the motion on the quit claim deed transferring ownership of the Globe campus and the 32 acres of the Payson campus, otherwise we'll be here discussing the whole concept of Payson/ASU/GCCCD for the rest of the afternoon and I don't think that really bears any fruit for us at this stage of the

conversation. I know the County Manager has been communicating with the mayor and we've provided some documentation and stuff, but we have not heard any response back so I think we ought to just focus on the agenda item and then we'll get to the rest of the process as we move down the road here if that's agreeable to everybody." Supervisor Pastor then made the motion, which was seconded by Vice-Chairman Dawson, to authorize the issuance of a quit claim deed transferring ownership of a parcel of land in Globe used for the Gila Pueblo Community College Campus and the westerly 32.459 acres of a parcel of land in Payson used for the Gila Community College Campus from Gila County to Gila Community College, a Provisional Community College District pursuant to A.R.S. §15-1409. Mr. Vogel stated, "Just to correct Supervisor Pastor, technically like I said, the SLE has not been involved. And again I hear the naysayers every day. Do I believe this is going to happen? If you can find one person who will tell you I waste my time with anything, I wouldn't be chairman. Is it going to go forward? Yes. Do I think it will go forward with that remaining land? No not with that site. I think somebody's going to own it, but it won't be us and I'm talking as chairman. And I'll emphasize to my board that it's not worth the extra cost to take that balance of the land and go with it. I do believe this is one of those times that it's going to set it back 2 years and that's just the way it is. And if that's how the Board feels, that's fine. I understand there's things that you have to do, but I think we've been very open up front from planning from day one and again we're getting off the subject a little bit, but it also includes that subject. So we've done everything that we can do and like I said it will explode the cost of that land or to build on that portion and then to expect us to buy property that is useless, that's the way it goes. Supervisor Pastor stated to Mr. Vogel, "Mike, there's no intent to delay anything. It's just if you'll read—I think what's happened is this item has become so emotional for everybody and so political. All we are talking about is quit claiming the property that is currently used by the campuses up to and in Payson up to the 32 acres which still has the 20-acre parcel there that you know. The County right now, I think we're waiting for a response from the mayor as to some of the information we've provided him. So there's nothing to hinder the progress of this project. We're just dealing with quit claiming the property that the state is requiring us to do to the GCCCD. And we're just kind of holding the 20 acres to figure out where everybody is going with this thing." Mr. Vogel responded by stating: "Well using that same argument, the state requires it. The SLE is the Rim Country Educational Alliance. It could be quit claim deeded to us and it would be used strictly for education. I saw something that I read yesterday that they didn't want industrial. Okay, by the furthest stretch of the imagination I don't know where that came from." Chairman Martin stated, "I'm going to cut off discussion because we will be discussing this again I believe the 6<sup>th</sup> of December. I think that's in motion to at least on the 6<sup>th</sup> of December discuss that parcel and we will keep discussing it at that point. I just want to take action on this item. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion?" There was no further discussion. The motion was unanimously passed by the Board.

**2F. Information/Discussion/Action to approve the FY2011 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program Application in the amount of \$13,234.**

Claudia DalMolin, Chief Administrative Officer for the Sheriff's Office, stated that this grant application will be used to pay for Sheriff's deputy overtime for drug related activities in which they assist. Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Dawson, seconded by Supervisor Pastor, the Board unanimously approved the FY2011 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program Application in the amount of \$13,234.

**Item 3 – CONSENT AGENDA ACTION ITEMS:**

**3A. Approval of the Chairman's signature on Subgrantee Agreement No. 11-AZDOHS-HSGP-888300-01 between the Arizona Department of Homeland Security and the Gila County Division of Health & Emergency Services for a program award in the amount of \$1,395.**

**3B. Approval of the Chairman's signature on Subgrantee Agreement No. 11-AZDOHS-HSGP-888300-02 for Arizona Department of Homeland Security Grant between the Arizona Department of Homeland Security and the Gila County Division of Health & Emergency Services for a program award in the amount of \$112,028.**

**3C. Approval of Amendment No. 3 to Contract No. DE111073001 between the Arizona Department of Economic Security and the Gila County Division of Community Services, Community Action Program, whereby the Arizona Department of Economic Security will provide additional funding in the amount of \$23,139 to the Community Action Program to continue providing case management services for the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012. The total cumulative reimbursement ceiling for this contract is \$1,265,774.13, for the entire contract period of July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2015.**

**3D. Approval of Amendment No. 2 to IGA Contract No. DE111093-001 between Gila County d/b/a Gila Employment and Special Training, and the Arizona Department of Economic Security, Rehabilitation Services Administration, which will replace the existing Facility Location and Staffing Chart with the revised Facility and Staffing Chart dated September 20, 2011 to reflect the corrected address in Payson.**

**3E. Approval of Amendment No. 3 to Contract No. Gila 10100 between Gila County and Central Arizona Association of Governments to add certain paragraphs to the Contract as required by the U.S. Department of Labor.**

**3F. Approval of Amendment No. 1 to Contract No. A11PC00100 between the Bureau of Indian Affairs and Gila County, on behalf of the Gila County Juvenile Detention Center, to increase the total contract amount to \$63,100 for payment coverage of continuing detention services.**

**3G. Approval of Amendment No. 2 to Contract No. A11PC00100 between the Bureau of Indian Affairs and Gila County, on behalf of the Gila County Juvenile Detention Center, to increase the contract amount by \$12,300 for a total contract amount of \$75,400 for payment coverage of continuing detention services.**

**3H. Authorization for the Gila County Division of Health & Emergency Services Division to accept foundation grant funds from the State Farm Foundation to administer the "Great 9-1-1 Adventure for Kids" pilot project in the amount of \$2,500 for the period of January 1, 2012, to October 31, 2012.**

**3I. Approval to adopt Resolution 11-11-02 naming a previously un-named road in Claypool, Arizona as Michael's Way. (A copy of the Resolution is permanently on file in the Board of Supervisors' Office.)**

**3J. Approval of an Agreement for Election Services between Gila County and the Town of Payson whereby the Gila County Recorder's Office will provide the Town with "Vote by Mail" election services on March 13, 2012, and, if needed, on May 15, 2012.**

**3K. Approval to reappoint Ken Volz to the Gila County Personnel Commission for a new four-year term which will expire on December 31, 2015.**

**3L. Approval to reappoint Forrest Switzer to the Northern Gila County Range Commission for a new three-year term beginning January 1, 2012, and ending December 31, 2014; and to acknowledge and approve the appointment of Otto Wheeten, which will expire December 31, 2013.**

**3M. Approval of the September 2011 monthly departmental activity report submitted by the Recorder's Office.**

**3N. Approval of finance reports/demands/transfers for the weeks of November 8, 2011, and November 15, 2011.**

#### **November 8, 2011**

\$1,277,784.01 was disbursed for County expenses by check numbers 241272 through 241452.

#### **November 15, 2011**

\$1,405,131.16 was disbursed for County expenses by check numbers 241411 through 241552. **(An itemized list of disbursements is permanently on file in the Board of Supervisors' Office.)**

**30. Acknowledgment of contracts under \$50,000 which have been approved by the County Manager for weeks ending October 28, 2011, and November 4, 2011.**

Copies of the contract reports are permanently on file in the Board of Supervisors' Office.

Upon motion by Supervisor Pastor, seconded by Vice-Chairman Dawson, the Board unanimously approved Consent Agenda Action items 3-A through 3-O.

**Item 4 - CALL TO THE PUBLIC: Call to the Public is held for public benefit to allow individuals to address issue(s) within the Board's jurisdiction. Board members may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statute §38-431.01(G), action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter, responding to criticism, or scheduling the matter for further discussion and decision at a future date.**

There were no requests to speak from the public.

**Item 5 - At any time during this meeting pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02(K), members of the Board of Supervisors and the Chief Administrator may present a brief summary of current events. No action may be taken on issues presented.**

Each Board member presented information on current events.

There being no further business to come before the Board of Supervisors, Chairman Martin adjourned the meeting at 11:14 a.m.

**APPROVED:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Tommie C. Martin, Chairman

**ATTEST:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Marian Sheppard, Chief Deputy Clerk