

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES
GILA COUNTY, ARIZONA**

Date: June 22, 2010

MICHAEL A. PASTOR
Chairman

JOHN F. NELSON
Clerk of the Board

TOMMIE C. MARTIN
Vice-Chairman

By: Marilyn Brewer
Deputy Clerk

SHIRLEY L. DAWSON
Member

Gila County Courthouse
Globe, Arizona

PRESENT: Michael A. Pastor, Chairman; Tommie C. Martin, Vice-Chairman (via ITV conferencing); Shirley L. Dawson, Supervisor; Don E. McDaniel, Jr., County Manager; John Nelson, Deputy County Manager/Clerk; Marian Sheppard, Chief Deputy Clerk; and Bryan Chambers, Chief Deputy County Attorney.

Item 1 – Call to Order – Pledge of Allegiance – Invocation

The Gila County Board of Supervisors met in Regular Session at 10:00 a.m. this date in the Board of Supervisors hearing room. Berthan DeNero led the Pledge of Allegiance and Reverend Charles Willcox of Hospice Compassus in Payson delivered the invocation.

**Item 2 - Motion to convene as the Gila County Library District.
Information/Discussion/Action to adopt the tentative Gila County Library District budget for fiscal year 2010-2011.**

Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board convened as the Gila County Library District Board of Directors. Jacque Griffin, Assistant County Manager/Librarian, stated that page 22 of the Gila County Proposed Budget lists the Library District budget. The Library District tax rate is proposed to remain the same at \$.20, which will generate \$1,572,058 for assistance to the libraries throughout Gila County. It is anticipated that the Library District will also bring in \$140,000 in grants, which includes the regular \$23,000 State Grants-In-Aid; a Library Services and Technology grant for a webpage; notification has been received of a First Things First grant; a BTOP1 grant, which is federal stimulus money; and there is the potential within a week or two to know if the Library District will receive a BTOP2 grant for placing a computer in every library and provide training to promote job retraining such as is currently offered at the County's One-Stop location. The total Library District budget proposed for adoption is

\$1,712,058. Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Martin, seconded by Supervisor Dawson, the Board unanimously adopted the tentative Gila County Library District budget for fiscal year 2010-2011 in the amount of \$1,712,058.

Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board reconvened as the Gila County Board of Supervisors.

Item 3 - Information/Discussion/Action to adopt the tentative 2010-2011 fiscal year budget for Gila County.

John Nelson, Deputy County Manager/Clerk, passed out a report entitled "Government Finance for Dummies" and gave a PowerPoint presentation. He began by first discussing government finance starting with the State of Arizona. He quoted Arizona Governor Brewer in her transmittal letter when she submitted her executive budget to the Legislature as follows: "For Arizona, the middle of the last decade was a period of unprecedented growth and prosperity. With increased revenue streams, **our State wisely and correctly lowered taxes...Arizona also increased services in every area of State government...**" Mr. Nelson stated that in his opinion this is exactly what is wrong with government and why this State and others are in the trouble they are in today. He stated, "I think as you look at government services...we need to take a critical look at what government does, how we do it and who we serve. It's my opinion that as we look at government services, we are not 'value added' to the economy," and he cited examples such as producing copper and turning raw materials into a finished product as being 'value added' to the economy. He stated, "Taking a criminal, paying for his indigent defense, convicting him, putting him in prison and maintaining that prison is not 'value added' to the economy. It is 'value added' to society, but not to the economy. I think as we go through our budget process and think about government, we have to keep that in mind." In a report entitled "Growth Remains Slow until FY 2011" received from the Joint Legislative Budget Committee (JLBC), Mr. Nelson explained that as the State was experiencing rapid expansion of its economy and revenue streams, it was decreasing taxes instead of maintaining a stable tax policy. With the declining economy, Mr. Nelson believes that now is the time government should be cutting taxes, not increasing them. What Mr. Nelson finds very disturbing is that when revenues were good, the State was expanding programs, particularly State government, and now that the economy is down, they are cutting the budgets for services that are needed most, particularly Child Protective Services, School Resource Officers and cutting revenues to Gila Community College so it is unable to provide retraining courses for people who have just lost their jobs. The State is also talking about making cuts in AHCCCS (Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System). Mr. Nelson believes the government should be reducing taxes and increasing services, but it is doing just the opposite. Mr. Nelson believes it should be about smaller and less intrusive government; however, the State says it is doing that, but instead is shifting the costs to other entities such as the

counties. He cited the example of Senate Bill (SB) 1070 (the Support Our Law Enforcement and Safe Neighborhoods Act), an unfunded mandate from the State to the counties, and which also amounts to more government. Supervisor Dawson stated that with SB1070 sitting there, people and businesses are not going to come to Arizona. She believes the picture for Arizona and its government is worse than the projection. Mr. Nelson wholeheartedly agreed and added that what we continually hear from state and federal government leaders is that they cannot be held accountable for the Lehmann Brothers surprise, the collapse in the housing market, the credit market freezing up, etc. because they never saw it coming, so don't blame them. Mr. Nelson stated, "I'm sorry, but I do think they are accountable and we should hold them accountable." The next item presented was a chart entitled, "Real Retail Sales U.S., Percent Changed Year Ago, 1973-2008" received from Elliot D. Pollack & Company with the source as the Federal Reserve. This chart showed the past 5 recession periods including the Oil Embargo in 1974-75; stagflation involving the Federal Reserve in 1980-82; savings and loan companies crashing in 1990; the 9-1-1 disaster; and the current Lehmann Brothers bankruptcy, the crash of the housing market and credit issues. The government says they never saw any of these things coming and were surprised. Mr. Nelson stated, "I think it's time we stop hiding behind this 'we're surprised.' Government needs to be prepared for any emergencies that arise and it should be part of a long-term planning process while keeping in mind that taxes are not 'value added' to the economy." Mr. Nelson then moved on to the budgeting process including the Arizona budget requirements, the definition of tentative budget and the budget timeline for this year. He stated that since there always seems to be some confusion on these items, he wanted to define them again. The State of Arizona budgeting process requires that counties account and budget for all funds including any potential revenues that may be available for the upcoming year. The estimated fund balance plus the estimated (potential) revenues equals the total budget. If the County receives any potential funds that were not accounted for, by law the County cannot spend those funds. He reviewed last year's fiscal budget, which was \$100,298,479 with estimated expenditures of \$61,312,300 so the carryover fund balance is estimated at \$32 million. Those funds are carried forward to the next fiscal year budget for the purpose of being able to continue to fund grants. The federal grant year is October 1st, so the County basically has 1 quarter of the federal grants that have to be carried over. He requested that for the upcoming fiscal year (FY) 2010-2011 the Board adopt a tentative budget of \$104,308,853. He noted that this budget, however, is not a spending plan. Just because some funds are appropriated, does not mean they are going to be spent. The County has established a \$5 million cash flow reserve to fund the County until the tax receipts are received in November. Mr. Nelson explained that adopting the tentative budget does the following: 1) it allows for the publication of the proposed budget in the newspapers and on the County website; 2) it allows for the publication of a Truth in Taxation (TNT) notice of any proposed tax increase; 3) it sets a time for a public hearing in which the

taxpayers can attend and discuss the budget with the Board before it is adopted; 4) it sets an upper expenditure limitation or a maximum spending level; and 5) it sets the upper property tax levy. The tentative budget does not do the following: 1) it does not establish a final budget; 2) it does not appropriate funds; and 3) it does not set a property tax levy or property tax rate. With the adoption of the tentative budget, the Board can still make changes, reduce the total amount, and reallocate funds; they cannot, however, exceed the expenditure limitation. The next item presented was the time line for the budget. The adoption of the tentative budget set for today sets the upper spending limit and the upper property tax levy. The adoption of the final budget set for July 20, 2010, finalizes the budget (after the public hearing) and finalizes the property tax levy. Then at the Board meeting scheduled for August 16, 2010, the Board will set the property tax rate for all taxing jurisdictions, which is a County clerical process only. Mr. Nelson also explained that taxpayers wishing to protest taxes and tax rates of these special districts, cities and towns should attend those entities' board meetings, which is when the rates are being set. The Board of Supervisors does not have any authority to change those rates once they are established by the boards of the special districts, cities and towns. Supervisor Dawson noted that she and possibly other Board members would be attending the National Association of Counties convention in Nevada so she would not be able to attend the Board meeting for the adoption of the final budget set for July 20th. Mr. Nelson stated that State law requires the budget be adopted the third Monday in July or before so an earlier Board meeting date could be set that will allow for the required publications before the adoption of the final budget. Mr. Nelson then continued on with a review of the total tentative budget for FY 2009-2010, 2010-2011 and the changes. The General Fund will increase by \$1,574,657; Special Revenue will increase by \$4,141,698; Capital Projects will decrease by (\$1,692,459); Permanent Funds will decrease by (\$300,934); and Debt Service will increase by \$287,410 for a total budget increase of \$4,010,373. Within the General Fund changes for FY 2011, Mr. Nelson explained that significant increases will include the following: expenses for a primary and general election plus redistricting costs--\$400,000; replacement of the Treasurer's software system--\$125,000; operating costs for the new 40-bed Women's jail--\$400,000; jail evidence storage and management--\$115,000; health insurance costs increased significantly, which the County will partially absorb in the amount of \$375,000, however, co-pays for both doctors and prescriptions were increased for employees along with an increase in deductibles; Restoration to Competency used to be shared equally between the State and counties, but has now been 100% passed to the counties--\$300,000; AHCCCS/ALTCS (Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System & Arizona Long Term Care System), which is the County's mandated cost from the State for the indigent healthcare program--\$200,000; increase contingency to \$1,400,000 because of the possibility of upcoming costs such as from SB1070 and others--\$400,000; and decrease in the Rainy-Day Fund in order to balance the budget--(\$750,000) for a total increase in the General Fund of \$1,565,000. The Rainy-Day Fund is

currently at \$5M and it is projected that \$1.8M will need to be used to balance the budget leaving a total of \$3.2M. He reiterated that the restoration to competency increase and the AHCCCS/ALTCS increase are the result of the State Legislature passing those increases on to the counties. The next recommendations made by Mr. Nelson included General Fund new positions. For the new 40-bed women's jail dorm he recommended 1 detention nurse, 1 detention sergeant and 4 detention officers. For the new evidence storage management, he recommended 1 deputy sheriff. Also recommended were 2 school resource officers that the County will fund due to reduced funding from the State. The last recommendation is per an Internal Revenue Service requirement that funding for some consultants be changed to salaries and wages as employees. Mr. Nelson stated that when he brings the final budget adoption to the Board, he will be asking the Board to approve a detailed list of all authorized positions and the funding sources for same. In reference to Special Revenue Funds, he advised that there are approximately 230 grants and 3 significant ones will increase in FY 2011 by \$4,126,003, which includes federal funds for the Tonto Creek Bridge in the amount of \$2,475,458 for the concept and design; a grant in the amount of \$599,663 for the Young 512 Road; and a transportation enhancement grant in the amount of \$1,050,882 from the State to complete the Six Shooter Canyon sidewalks from the Forest Service boundary to Remington Drive connecting into the existing sidewalks there. Steve Stratton, Public Works Division Director, stated that the sidewalk project has a County-required match of 5.7%, which will be paid with County's half-cent funds. At this time a temporary recess was called due to recording issues. Mr. Nelson then resumed the meeting by making the following policy recommendations for FY 2011: 1) establish a reserve of \$2 million for future capital needs; 2) no guaranteed funding for community agencies including the two big ones being the Northern and Southern Gila County Economic Development Corporations; 3) continue support for Gila Community College in the amount of \$300,000 because of State cuts; 4) court security in the amount of \$100,000; 5) establish 2 personal days off for all permanent employees (for FY 2011 only) (1 in the first 6 months and 1 in the second 6 months) because there will be no employee raises; 6) continue the 120-day hiring freeze; and 7) no general salary increases. Mr. Nelson gave a brief update on the Public Sector Personnel Consultants survey based on market studies that was done for all County positions; however, because of the State starting to experience shortfalls in revenue, 2-1/2 years ago a freeze in pay increases was implemented. Mr. Nelson stated that because of all the changes in the County's organization and the market changes, he does not believe the study is valid anymore. He believes inequities have developed within the County's pay plan and an additional workload has been placed on personnel due to the 120-day hiring freeze. Mr. Nelson stated that more and more employee issues are arising, which he believes are the result of the two issues mentioned above and will have to be addressed in the near future. The Board then held a discussion on the Public Sector personnel study with Supervisor Dawson questioning Mr. Nelson's comments about the study no longer being valid. Mr. Nelson

explained, "I don't think Public Sector is invalid. I think the study that was done 2-1/2 years ago based on market conditions that were done 2-1/2 years ago, jobs that were being done 2-1/2 years ago, is no longer valid in where we are right now. But Public Sector, as an organization, is somebody that can do that for us I think is totally valid." Vice-Chairman Martin stated what she thought Mr. Nelson was stating was that the Public Sector study is the best we've got. It may not be valid and we're going to have to take a look at it. Mr. Nelson stated, "What I was proposing is that we take a look at this issue, we deal with this issue, but I don't think what happened 2-1/2 years ago is the proper starting point. I think we need a brand new starting point to deal with what our employees are doing today. Are they adequately paid for that compared to their peers and what is the market out there? The market is obviously different than it was 2 years ago and during this hiring freeze other things have been happening in our organization. Job duties have significantly changed. That's why I would recommend that we take a look at this and deal with this issue, but I don't see that what was done 2-1/2 years ago is the starting point." Supervisor Dawson stated, "I don't have a problem with the fact that the market today is not the market that was there 2-1/2 years ago. I don't have a problem with the fact that in the hiring process we have consolidated some jobs and changed job descriptions and that needs to be presented on an individual basis, but I'll be danged if I want to see this Board of Supervisors (BOS) or our personnel department going back to a practice that was 'let's see if we can come up with the right vocabulary to describe a job and get it funded' whether it's in the courts or in the BOS wing of the County. That's where I was most aware of discrepancies and unfairness when we came on this Board and I have not had employees tell me that they feel we discriminate at this point in who gets hired or in what job level they are hired at because we do not follow Public Sector. We haven't, as other counties and cities, asked our employees to take a day off without pay or had a 15-20% cut in our staff. So I understand Public Sector has room for improvement, but I don't see throwing it out in any sense of the word. I certainly want the fairness in job descriptions and job hiring to continue as it has since we implemented Public Sector. We gave a period of time for appeals and we paid for the appeal process in putting people on the steps where Public Sector and the administrative persons agreed upon and I'll fight hard to keep us moving forward with this in a very financially difficult time." Mr. Nelson replied that he believes that the product from Public Sector 2-1/2 years ago needs to be replaced with a new Public Sector product. Chairman Pastor stated that he has received comments from employees that the Public Sector study needs to be reviewed and he believes Mr. Nelson was suggesting that the County begin the process of looking at the structure and review the process and see if it needs to be fine-tuned. Jacquie Griffin, Assistant County Manager/Librarian, stated that the Public Sector study was conducted in 2005 and the last 2-1/2 to 3 years have been frozen in time, yet the economy has not frozen. So it's that inequity or perceived inequity that the County needs to be looking at. Mr. Nelson concluded by stating that he is not here with a recommendation today;

however, he does believe it's an issue that's going to accelerate and it needs to be dealt with. Don McDaniel, County Manager, stated that he and Mr. Nelson had previously discussed the issue and it was his opinion that it be left in the recommendation as it is now with the thought that using Public Sector's work as the foundation and base work, he sees himself bringing that up to the conditions of today. Supervisor Dawson recommended leaving the core concept as it is and look at job assignments and if it becomes necessary to bring them to the Board for revision, "So be it, but we still have a core base." Vice-Chairman Martin stated that she believes the County has the expertise on board to take a look at the equity and parity issues and bring those recommendations back to the Board. She was delighted that Mr. Nelson brought this issue to the attention of the Board because she believes he is right. Mr. Nelson continued his presentation on the property tax levy. What he proposed was a property tax levy from last year's \$22,143,197 to \$23,818,540 for FY 2011. He stated that the increase is based on a recommendation of a voter approved 2% increase on property taxes on all existing properties in the amount of \$468,973 and taxing new construction at \$1,181,350, plus allowing for a 2% increase on new construction. He again reviewed the use of the Property Tax Levy increase as was presented earlier. He also explained that there has been a decrease in sales tax for the County and the State will no longer share the State lottery money with the County. Mr. Nelson then explained how property taxes are calculated for a \$100,000 home. The assessed value of \$100,000 times the assessment ratio of 10% equals \$10,000, which is divided by 100, which is \$100. The \$100 times the recommended tax rate of \$4.19/per \$100 of assessed valuation, would equal \$419.00 for the taxes on a \$100,000 home. The following items Mr. Nelson presented were 3 charts. The first chart showed Gila County's Primary Tax Rate beginning with FY 2004, 2005 and 2006 all with a tax rate of \$4.41, FY 2007 at \$4.35, FY 2008 at \$4.11, FY 2009 at \$3.92, FY 2010 at \$3.75 to a proposed primary tax rate of \$4.19 for FY 2011. The second chart was Gila County's Primary Property Tax Average for a \$100,000 home from FY 2006 through FY 2011. The third chart consisted of 2 charts together showing the Gila County Primary Tax Rate and Gila County Primary Property Tax Average for a \$100,000 Home for FY 2006-2011. He noted that the County's property taxes have not been keeping up with inflation. Mr. Nelson stated that his preferred recommendation would have been to reduce taxes; however, because of the costs being passed down to the County from the State that is not possible. Mr. Nelson then explained the "TNT" (truth in taxation) notice. In compliance with Arizona Revised Statutes ¶42-17107, Gila County is notifying its property taxpayers of Gila County's intention to raise its primary property taxes over last year's level. Gila County is proposing an increase in primary property taxes of \$493,993 or 2%. For example, the proposed tax increase will cause Gila County's primary property taxes on a \$100,000 home to increase from \$410.31 (total taxes that would be owed without the proposed tax increase) to \$419.00 (total proposed taxes including the tax increase). In conclusion, Mr. Nelson presented a chart showing the combined tax rates for FY 2010 for all

the counties in Arizona beginning with the lowest at \$2.86 in Greenlee County to the highest of \$17.54 for Pima County. Gila County's combined tax rate is \$10.41, which Mr. Nelson explained is the county's tax rate of \$3.75 added to the taxes from all the special districts, cities and towns in the amount of \$6.66 for a total of \$10.41. Mr. Nelson recommended that the Board adopt the tentative budget as presented. Each supervisor thanked Mr. Nelson and staff for the work on the budget. Supervisor Dawson stated that she would be talking more with Mr. Nelson about further reduction of costs because of the effects of the tough economy on the residents of Gila County, particularly the elderly. Vice-Chairman Martin also noted the "storm clouds" that may continue to come from the state. She stated, "I think that part of what we are doing is balancing as best we can, continuing to provide the services as well as absorb the hits we are getting from the State and I think we will continue to get hits from the state." Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Martin, seconded by Supervisor Dawson, the Board unanimously adopted the tentative 2010-2011 fiscal year budget for Gila County as presented in the amount of \$104,308,853. Supervisor Dawson stated, "I really plan that the July budget will be different than what we are adopting."

Item 4 – Information/Discussion/Action to authorize the advertisement of Request for Sealed Bids No. 051410-1 - VHF Radio Equipment and Installation for the Gila County Sheriff Detention Bureau.

Adam Shepherd, Undersheriff, stated that in the jails the equipment has become quite outdated because the emphasis has been on the extensive communication system for the outside patrol. The jail equipment is so outdated that it cannot be connected to the new system. Then new construction is ongoing, which will increase the Sheriff's Office level of officers that are outside the facility so to improve the safety and security for the officers, the jail equipment must be updated and also to increase the range of the current system because right now only portables are used. Also being added will be an emergency message system so if an officer cannot communicate through their radio all they will have to do is push a button to let the communications center know they are having trouble. This equipment will be funded with Criminal Justice Enhancement Funds and with commissary so no extra funds are being requested. Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board unanimously authorized the advertisement of Request for Sealed Bids No. 051410-1 - VHF Radio Equipment and Installation for the Gila County Sheriff Detention Bureau.

Item 5 – Information/Discussion/Action to authorize the advertisement of Request for Sealed Bids No. 052510-1 for the Public Works Complex Privacy Wall, Globe, Arizona.

Steve Stratton, Public Works Division Director, stated that when the Public Works Complex project began, meetings were held with the neighbors and one

of the promises he made to them was that if funds became available, discussions would be held about constructing a wall that would give them privacy from the County's facilities. Mr. Stratton showed the Board a photo of the area boundaries of the County property. He recommended that the Board authorize the advertisement for sealed bids to build 700 feet of 6-foot privacy walls starting at the north end of the Administration Building next to the Bearup property southward and then east along the southerly boundary of the Bearup property and then north up to the Teague property and then east along the boundary of the Keller property, which the County purchased. The 6-foot wall would be colored smooth block with the top 2 courses being a split-faced block and the columns would be split-faced so it would be aesthetically pleasing to the neighbors as well as giving them privacy. Additionally in this bid there would be a set of stairs, which would go from the Administration Building up to the Facilities Building to allow easier interaction between County staff. There is also a set of stairs between the Facilities Building and the Keller property where the County's construction trailer currently sits, which would allow Facilities personnel to park in that area and then enter and exit their building. Once these facilities are all completed, in the future Mr. Stratton is anticipating a roadway project on Russell Road that would widen the road and allow for a left turn lane to go to the landfill and also a deceleration lane to go onto Besich Boulevard. In that road project, the County anticipates continuing the privacy wall along the eastern boundary of the County's property satisfying the promise to the citizens in that area. The wall will be funded with HURF (Highway User Revenue Funds) monies rather than the bond project monies. Chairman Pastor inquired about the property behind the Facilities Building at the corner where the private property owners pull into their property. Mr. Stratton replied that the property there will remain open. The County intends to bring the wall up to the location where the chain link fence ends right now and stop there. Discussions have been held with both property owners as to the County's intentions and they are both satisfied. The County will come approximately 2 feet off of the property line to allow them, after the wall is constructed, to take down their fencing. Chairman Pastor noted on the map that right now where those property owners come into their property, they are cutting through the corner of County property and he wanted to make Mr. Stratton aware of same. Mr. Stratton affirmed that property owners are cutting through the corner of County property, but that's the only access for them right now. If the County moved their access to another area (as shown on the map) it would be dangerous for the owners. Chairman Pastor stated that he reviewed that area and wondered if that was going to be a problem. Mr. Stratton stated that he believes the way it is situated right now is the safest solution for everyone. Supervisor Dawson wanted County staff to look at the wall by the apartments built behind Wendy's restaurant and check into who built that wall because it is a 6-foot wall that was built without adequate foundation. Mr. Stratton stated that the County is using an ADOT (Arizona Department of Transportation) spec wall for this project. It has a wide footing with J-bar so it's actually being built like a

retaining wall rather than just a privacy wall. In the future if there is any soil or sediment that comes up against either side of the wall, it should be able to be maintained. Chairman Pastor inquired that when the construction begins, will the contractor notify the private owners that there may be some infringement as far as doing the work and a problem with dust? Mr. Stratton replied that the property owners will be notified and the County is staying on the contractors as far as dust control by monitoring same and that is something that has to be maintained by the contractors through water trucks or whatever is necessary. The schedule for this project is to advertise request for bids on June 23 and 30, 2010, and there will be a walk-through of the project on July 1, 2010, with questions being taken until July 2, 2010. Any final addendums will be sent out on July 6, 2010, and the bid due dates are July 14, 2010. It is hoped the project can be awarded in mid-to-late July. It is approximately a 60-day project and the engineer's estimate is in the \$70,000 range; however, that is dependent on the availability of the colored block. Chairman Pastor stated that on the north side of the Administration Building there is an old cement wall and inquired if there was any intent to modify that wall or if it would remain there as is. Mr. Stratton replied that at this time, that wall will be left the way it is. Mr. Stratton would like to see all of the facilities completed and then he will address the situation on Michigan and Russell Roads as there are several options available. Because at times it is a very large creek, there needs to be some type of structure there to control the drainage. Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board unanimously authorized the advertisement of Request for Sealed Bids No. 052510-1 for the Public Works Complex Privacy Wall, Globe, Arizona.

Item 6 - CONSENT AGENDA ACTION ITEMS:

- A. Approval to ratify the Chairman's signature on an application submitted by Albert Keehn of Sportsman's Chalet to temporarily extend the premises where liquor is served on June 19, 2010. (All Gila County requirements were met prior to the event.**
- B. Approval of an Order to cancel precinct elections and appoint precinct committeemen who have filed nominating petitions.**
- C. Authorization of the Chairman's signature on Amendment No. 2 to Contract No. 121707-1 for SBS polymer chip seal oil to extend the Contract period to June 13, 2010, per Section 3.2 of the Contract.**
- D. Approval to adopt Resolution No.10-06-06 authorizing the Gila County Probation Department's participation in the FY 2010-2011 Family Counseling Program through the Arizona Supreme Court, Administrative Office of the Courts, Juvenile Justice Services Division, and certifying that a matching fund requirement of \$2,303 for the**

Program will be provided by the County. (A copy of the Resolution is permanently on file in the Board of Supervisors' Office.)

E. Acknowledging the resignation of Paula Brandt from the Solitude Trails Water Improvement District Governing Board and the appointment of Jim Robertson to said Board to complete Ms. Brandt's term which expires 12/31/2012; and acknowledging the resignation of Terry Schleizer from the Pine/Strawberry Fire District Governing Board and the appointment of David J. Burkhart to said Board to complete Ms. Schleizer's term which expires 12/31/2010.

F. Approval of a request by the Gila County Sheriff's Reserve Posse to use the Exhibit Hall at the Fairgrounds for its annual Sweetheart Dance to be held on February 12, 2011, with a request to waive fees.

G. Approval of April 20, 2010, BOS meeting minutes.

H. Approval of the May 2010 monthly departmental activity report submitted by the Globe Regional Constable. (A copy of the report is on file in the Clerk of the Board Department.)

I. Approval of personnel reports/actions for the week of June 22, 2010.

Departures from County Service:

1. Margie Velasquez – School Superintendent – Accounting Clerk – 06/18/10 – General Fund – DOH 03/06/06 - Resigned

Hires to County Service:

2. Ray Tarango – Globe Constable #312 – Part Time Deputy Constable – 06/28/10 – General Fund – Replacing Dawn VanHassel
3. Michael Lorka – Probation – Part Time Juvenile Detention Officer – 07/19/10 - General Fund – Replacing Charles Schreck

Temporary Hires to County Service:

4. Larry Dooly – Public Works Facilities Management – Temporary Building Maintenance Technician - 06/14/10 – Facilities Management Fund
5. Jace Rampelotto – Public Works Facilities Management – Temporary Building Maintenance Technician – 06/08/10 – Facilities Management Fund
6. Rachel Wright – Clerk of Superior Court – Temporary Court Clerk – 06/21/10 - General Fund

Position Review:

7. Michael Snively – Probation – Deputy Probation Officer 2 – 06/22/10 – From Payson Safe Schools Fund – To Adult Probation Service Fees Fund
8. Stacie Allison – Finance – Accountant – 06/21/10 - General Fund – Received Bachelors Degree

Request Permission to Post:

9. Health and Community Services – Community Service Worker – Vacated by Frances Ramirez
10. Public Works/Automotive Equipment Maintenance – Automotive Service Worker – Vacated by Kevin Moran

SHERIFF'S PERSONNEL ACTION ITEMS

Hires to County Service:

11. Catherine Hines - Sheriff's Office – 911 Dispatcher – 06/21/10 - General Fund – Replacing Marci Sanders
12. Edward Morgan - Sheriff's Office – Deputy Sheriff – 07/05/10 - General Fund – Replacing Colten White

End Probationary Period:

13. Layne Johnson - Sheriff's Office – Deputy Sheriff – 06/21/10 - General Fund

J. Approval of finance reports/demands/transfers for the week of June 22, 2010. (separate handout)

\$1,205,899.78 was disbursed for County expenses by check numbers 227961 through 228168. **(An itemized list of disbursements is permanently on file in the Board of Supervisors' Office.)**

Supervisor Dawson made the motion to move Consent Agenda item 6-I Personnel Report, item number 2 only, to the regular agenda, which was seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin and unanimously approved. Supervisor Dawson then made the motion to table Consent Agenda item 6-I of the Personnel Report, item number 2, to a later date and instruct administration to have it brought back to the Board by the County Manager. The motion was seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin and unanimously approved. Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board unanimously approved the remaining Consent Agenda items 6A-6J, with the exception of 6I (number 2), which was already tabled.

Supervisor Dawson stated that agenda items 7 and 8 should be skipped at this time and that the Board should address agenda item 9 next, to which the Board agreed.

Item 9 - Information/Discussion/Action to approve a Peace Officer Training Fund Grant Agreement (AZ POST Contract No. 2010-033) awarded to the Sheriff's Office in the amount of \$1,900 by the Arizona Peace Officer Standards and Training Board (POST).

Sheriff John Armer stated that this is a request to approve a \$1,900 grant from POST strictly to enhance the Sheriff's Office fire arms simulator training piece of equipment. There is no required County match of funds and the accompanying Agreement states how the funds are to be used. Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board

unanimously approved a Peace Officer Training Fund Grant Agreement (AZ POST Contract No. 2010-033) awarded to the Sheriff's Office in the amount of \$1,900 by the Arizona Peace Officer Standards and Training Board (POST).

After addressing agenda item 9, Chairman Pastor returned to agenda items 7 and 8.

Item 7 - CALL TO THE PUBLIC: Call to the Public is held for public benefit to allow individuals to address issue(s) within the Board's jurisdiction. Board members may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statute §38-431.01(G), action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter, responding to criticism, or scheduling the matter for further discussion and decision at a future date.

Jess Bryant, a resident of Globe, gave an update to the Board on the upcoming events planned for the Independence Day celebration on the 4th of July.

Item 8 - At any time during this meeting pursuant to A.R.S. §38-31.02(K), members of the Board of Supervisors and the Chief Administrator may present a brief summary of current events. No action may be taken on issues presented.

Each Board member presented information on current events. Don McDaniel, County Manager, had no comments at this time.

There being no further business to come before the Board of Supervisors, Chairman Pastor adjourned the meeting at 12:20 p.m.

APPROVED:



Michael A. Pastor, Chairman

ATTEST:



Marian Sheppard, Chief Deputy Clerk