

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

GILA COUNTY, ARIZONA

Date: September 5, 2006

JOSÉ M. SANCHEZ

Chairman

TOMMIE C. MARTIN

Vice-Chairman

SHIRLEY L. DAWSON

Member

STEVEN L. BESICH

Clerk of the Board

By: Marilyn Brewer
Deputy Clerk

Gila County Courthouse
Globe, Arizona

PRESENT: Jose M. Sanchez, Chairman; Tommie C. Martin, Vice-Chairman; Shirley L. Dawson, Member; Steven L. Besich, County Manager/Clerk; Marian Sheppard, Chief Deputy Clerk; and, Bryan Chambers, 2nd Chief Deputy County Attorney.

The Gila County Board of Supervisors met in Regular Session at 1:30 p.m. this date instead of the regularly scheduled time of 10:00 a.m. to accommodate County employees wanting to attend a local funeral service.

Prior to the beginning of the Regular Session, the Board and officials of the Gila County Community College (GCCC) and the Arizona Department of Transportation held a Ribbon Cutting Ceremony on the steps of the Gila County Courthouse in celebration of a worksite agreement between Gila County and the GCCC to provide workforce training by offering college courses for Equipment Operator I positions and CDL (Commercial Driver's License) Class "B" certification.

Immediately following the Ribbon Cutting Ceremony, the Regular Session was called to order. The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Vice-Chairman Martin and Reverend Barbie Morton of the First Christian Church delivered the Invocation.

Steve Besich, County Manager/Clerk, requested that the Board accept the revised final report submitted by Public Sector for the Market Study Salary

Survey that was conducted for Gila County. He stated that the County has been working on this project since the first of the year and has attempted to involve employees in not only the consultant selection process, but also allowing employees various opportunities to provide input during the process. As recommendations and changes were made by Public Sector, the information has been disseminated to County employees in small groups. He stated that before the Board is a recommendation to approve the revised final report, which contains recommendations for position classifications for all employees and a fiscal year 2007 pay plan. Mr. Besich stated that Jacque Griffin, County Librarian/Assistant County Manager, has been meeting with various County departments to explain the new positions classifications and pay plan and she has a 45-minute presentation regarding those changes. He stated that Ms. Griffin could give her presentation or if the Board had specific questions, they could be directed to Ms. Griffin, Mr. Nelson, Deputy County Manager, or himself. Chairman Sanchez stated that he preferred the latter as the Board was given the presentation at the last meeting with Public Sector and he requested that Mr. Besich briefly advise the Board on the information that was shared with the department heads and elected officials. Vice-Chairman Martin stated that she would like to hear Ms. Griffin's presentation; however, because of the many agenda items to be addressed at today's meeting she would meet with Ms. Griffin after the meeting. Chairman Sanchez stated that it is his understanding that the Board is going to fund the pay plan recommendations at 95 percent. Mr. Besich added that the Board is also looking at implementing a position step increase for all employees on January 1, 2007, and he advised that a policy will be developed that will address the County's employee pay plan. He stated that the policy will include a one-month appeal process once a year where an employee, elected official or department head could propose a change to an individual's pay or job classification whereby Public Sector will review the proposal and within a specified period of time a recommendation for approval or denial would be issued to the Board. If approved by the Board, the change would take place in the following year's

budgeting process. Chairman Sanchez inquired if Ms. Griffin had any brief comments. Ms. Griffin stated that her presentation is a wrap-up of the survey results and proposed recommendations for job classifications and a new employee pay plan. The presentation also includes an explanation as to the process used by Public Sector to arrive at the final product, where the County currently stands in the whole process, and how issues relating to job classifications and salaries will be handled in the future. She stated that she believes the pay plan will be realigned to market every 3-4 years with Public Sector handling all future requests for job reclassifications. Mr. Besich reiterated that a document will be drawn up for Board approval requesting Public Sector to handle future requests for position reclassifications or adjustments. He stated that Public Sector needs to provide the County with a price for each appeal. Once the price has been established, the Board will need to decide if the Board would pay the bill for each appeal or if those departments making the requests will pay Public Sector's fees out of that department's annual budget. Chairman Sanchez stated that the Board would be accepting the revised final report submitted by Public Sector for the Market Study Salary Survey that includes all of the new job classifications, recommended job titles and salaries, etc. Supervisor Dawson advised that she requested Public Sector to provide a breakdown of where the County would be if the proposed pay plan is adopted at 95 percent. She stated that the information she received shows that 88 employees are still going to be below the recommended minimum step of their salary grade and Public Sector is still recommending that those employees be brought to the minimum step. She stated, "There are 493 employees who will be at the minimum or mid step of the recommended salary range and 64 employees who are between the mid and maximum range." Supervisor Dawson stated that she was glad to see that the Board is addressing the salaries for the employees who work in areas of law enforcement, probation and appraisers in the building permitting office and she believes this salary study is the very best product. Vice-Chairman Martin stated that she was looking for equity, parity and integrity in this study and in

watching the process and reviewing the results she believes “the Board got just that.” Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board unanimously accepted the revised final report submitted by Public Sector for the Market Study Salary Survey.

Richard Gaona, Finance Director, requested certification of the “Fill the Gap” funds per A.R.S. §42-2421 (G) for the Superior Court in the amount of \$842,020.12; Payson Regional Justice Court in the amount of \$784,052.15; and, Globe Regional Justice Court in the amount of \$488,605.61. He stated that the correct statute that applies to “Fill the Gap” funds is §41-2421 (G), not §42-2421 (G) as stated on the agenda. He stated that by December 1st, the Board must certify the total revenues received by the County’s Justice Courts and the Superior Court including the department of the Clerk of the Superior Court. The collection of these revenues comes from the payment of filing fees including clerk fees, diversion fees, adult and juvenile probation fees, juvenile monetary assessments, fines, penalties, surcharge sanctions and forfeitures. This does not include monies collected by the courts pursuant to A.R.S. §16-954 for child support, restitution or exonerated bonds. Mr. Gaona stated that the monies received each year are compared to the 1997/98 base year and a formula is applied to distribute the funds back to the original recipients proportionate to the total collections. The formula is based on the following 3 values: 1) below the base year minimum of \$2,008,713.00; 2) between the base year minimum and 5 percent above the minimum; and, 3) 5 percent above the minimum. Mr. Gaona stated that normally the County entities’ distribution formula percentage is between the base year minimum and 5 percent above; however, this is the first year the collections exceeded the 5 percent above minimum for a total distribution of \$107,298.71. Of the total \$107,298.71, \$34,396.39 will be paid by the Treasurer’s Office to the Arizona Supreme Court; \$68,635.25 will go to Gila County in an unrestricted fund reserved for the 3 courts; and, \$4,267.07 will be paid to the Arizona Justice Court System. Mr. Besich explained how the “Fill the Gap” funds originated. Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Martin, seconded by Supervisor Dawson, the

Board unanimously certified the “Fill the Gap” funds to those specific departments in the amounts noted above.

Dixie Mundy, Elections Department Director, requested the adoption of Resolution No. 06-09-02 canceling school and special taxing district elections and appointing these persons filing nomination petitions to the respective governing boards of directors. Mr. Besich, speaking on behalf of Ms. Mundy, who was out of town for poll worker training, advised that by statute the law for the cancellation of school and special district elections saves the County the cost of those elections and noted that there are quite a few special districts named in the Resolution. He also stated that there are no write-in candidates. Chairman Sanchez clarified that this request is the result of no one filing nomination petitions for this election to fill the vacancies on these boards, which include fire, wastewater, water improvement and sanitary special districts as well as school districts and the Cobre Valley Institute of Technology. Supervisor Dawson noticed that Dr. Timothy Trent, Superintendent of the Globe School District, was present at the meeting and inquired if the listing of Globe School District had been overlooked in this Resolution. Supervisor Dawson expressed her concern about the number of board vacancies for all of the school districts as no one is running for those positions. Chairman Sanchez stated that this is a problem statewide not just in Gila County and it is becoming increasingly difficult to find people to appoint to those positions. Chairman Sanchez called on Dr. Trent. Dr. Trent stated that a letter was submitted last Friday to forgo an election for the Globe School District as no one was interested in taking out petitions for the governing board of the Globe School District. He stated that in the event no one takes out petitions to run for election, the law then allows the County School Superintendent to appoint someone to the school governing board; however, they did not have anyone interested at this time and have not been able to recruit anyone. Supervisor Dawson inquired if the Globe School District needed to be added to the list of school districts listed on the Resolution. Jacque Griffin stated that the Globe School District’s paperwork wasn’t ready

at this time and it would have to come to the Board at a later date. Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Martin, seconded by Supervisor Dawson, the Board unanimously adopted Resolution No. 06-09-02. **(A copy of the Resolution is permanently on file in the Board of Supervisors' Office.)**

Sheriff John Armer requested approval to expend \$10,000 of unbudgeted funds for the 2006-2007 fiscal year and \$12,000 each year thereafter of budgeted funds in order to provide \$500 per month each for two community-of-Young resident deputies as remote duty station pay to reside in the Young area. He stated that Young is a unique community in Gila County. The Sheriff has established a remote duty station in Young because the Sheriff's Office has the primary responsibility to provide law enforcement for that community. He stated that it's a 1.5 to 2 hour drive to Young in good weather and in foul weather that time would be doubled. There are times every year when the roads to Young are closed. He stated that the Sheriff's Office has encountered problems retaining resident deputies in Young and attracting applicants for those positions. Sheriff Armer advised that by providing \$500 per month as remote duty pay for a maximum of two deputies, it would solve the problem and allow both positions to be filled before the end of the year. Due to the issues that have developed in Young over the past 10 years, Sheriff Armer is reluctant to have only one resident deputy in Young without some type of law enforcement support. He advised that under excellent conditions it would be 1-1.5 hours before law enforcement backup support would arrive in that area should the need arise. He further advised that in law enforcement circles it is common to allow remote duty pay for housing deputies within remote areas of the State. He recommended that the Board approve this request. Supervisor Dawson stated that Young is located within her supervisory district and she believes it is a necessity to have law enforcement in that area. Chairman Sanchez inquired if anyone is currently on duty in Young. Sheriff Armer stated that a volunteer reserve officer is currently providing some law enforcement services during the week; however, he is not a resident deputy. He stated that a previous officer in that area who has been retained by the Sheriff's Office as a

reserve officer may be interested in the position in order to keep his status current. Chairman Sanchez inquired if there are any restrictions on the funds whereby they would have to be spent on rent or living expenses. Mr. Besich stated that the remote pay would just be part of the resident deputy's salary with no restrictions. Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board unanimously approved the expenditure of \$10,000 of unbudgeted funds for the 2006-2007 fiscal year and \$12,000 each year thereafter of budgeted funds to provide \$500 per month each for two community-of-Young resident deputies as remote duty station pay to reside in the Young area.

Steve Stratton, Public Works Division Director, requested that the Board accept or reject a Citizen's Petition to begin the process to establish Radium Drive, which is located in Wheatfields, as a Country Dirt Road. He recommended the Board's acceptance of this Petition. Once the Petition is accepted by the Board, Mr. Stratton advised that his department will begin the process of engineering and surveying the road. Vice-Chairman Martin inquired as to the location of Radium Drive. Mr. Stratton replied that Radium Drive is located off Russell Road in Supervisorial District 3. For the record, he stated that approval of the Petition only begins the process to establish Radium Drive as a Country Dirt Road; it does not at this time bring the road into the County as a Country Dirt Road. For those residents present in the audience, Supervisor Dawson reiterated Mr. Stratton's statement that acceptance of the road is the first step in the entire process. Chairman Sanchez inquired if the Board had previously approved this request. Mr. Stratton stated that the County received a petition 4 years ago; however, the road did not qualify as a County road because it did not meet County standards. Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board unanimously accepted the Citizen's Petition to begin the process to establish Radium Drive as a Country Dirt Road.

Mr. Stratton requested that the Board accept or reject a Citizen's Petition to begin the process to establish Quail Run as a Country Dirt Road. Quail Run

is located within Supervisorial District 3. The beginning of the proposed road is Quail Run and the end of the proposed road is Quail Run. The general course and direction of the proposed road is east to west. Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board unanimously accepted the Citizen's Petition to begin the process to establish Quail Run as a Country Dirt Road.

Mr. Stratton requested the adoption of Resolution No. 06-09-03 authorizing the installation of signs at the intersection of Broadway and Old Oak Streets in Claypool. He stated that area has been visited in the past and a warrant study was done. Due to the recent complaints from citizens in that area, another warrant study by the County Engineer was authorized and he referred the Board to Exhibit A which shows the recommendations. Mr. Stratton stated that it is being recommended that the intersection become a 4-way stop due to the ineffectiveness of the yield signs that are in place at this time. Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Martin, seconded by Supervisor Dawson, the Board unanimously adopted Resolution No. 06-09-03. **(A copy of the Resolution is permanently on file in the Board of Supervisors' Office.)**

Mr. Stratton requested authorization for the advertisement of Request for Statement of Qualifications (SOQ) No. 060706-01 – Portable Food and Beverage Concessions on County Property. He stated that the County has been contacted by people requesting to have permanent concessions on County property; however, at present there are no County guidelines in place for either temporary or permanent concessions, nor are there any established fees. Mr. Stratton stated that he and Bob Hickman, Facilities Department Manager, believe that if fees are not charged, the County would be giving a gift to certain individuals. He stated that Valrie Bejarano, Public Works Division Contract Coordinator, contacted other counties to see how concessions are handled and then she formulated this SOQ, which was approved as to form by the County Attorney's Office. Should a contract be awarded by the Board for the operation of concessions upon County property, Supervisor Dawson inquired whether it would impact fundraising by groups like the 4-H Club, Lions Club, and sales at

the fair. Mr. Stratton stated that this SOQ is directed at County facilities rather than the fair, such as the County parking lots in Payson and Globe and sales in the hallways of the Courthouse building. He advised that it is not directed at non-profit groups but rather for-profit organizations and individuals. Chairman Sanchez inquired as to the purpose for advertising the SOQ. Mr. Stratton stated that any individual and organization that wishes to apply to have a permanent or temporary concession could do so; however, they don't have to apply at this time but could apply at any time. This would give guidelines for those applications. Mr. Besich explained that it would be unfair and probably not legal to have one person offer a proposal for the Board to accept, reject or even consider. He stated, "This is a formal process of opening the requests to the public to see if there are any offerings and then the Board could decide what best suits the County." Mr. Stratton stated that this request also offers indemnification for County liability and would involve the Public Works Division to ensure that any concessions comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act ensuring that hallways are not blocked. Vice-Chairman Martin stated that she could understand if these concessions were permanent or semi-permanent, but she questioned if this would preclude people who come into the Courthouse for a day to sell burritos and whether those people and/or groups would have to go through this process. Mr. Besich stated that because of the County's health codes, "we were trying to get away from home-prepared foods even before." He stated that the Board has continued to authorize the sale of baked goods and similar items. Vice-Chairman Martin again inquired if people selling baked goods would have to go through this process. Mr. Stratton replied that this process would apply to for-profit organizations or businesses that are looking for a more permanent-type situation; however, he deferred to Mr. Besich or Bryan Chambers, 2nd Chief Deputy County Attorney. Mr. Besich stated that for groups such as the 4-H Club, he didn't believe the Board would impose restrictions. He stated, "This is directed at those who would make continuous power or space demands on a daily basis as opposed to those little sales that occur here and there." Chairman Sanchez inquired if this would

affect the vending machines. Mr. Stratton stated that this item would not affect the vending machines; however, the next agenda item addresses automated vending machine services. Mr. Besich stated that for those commercial companies like Schwann and others, the Board may want to adopt a policy regarding the delivery of food on County time. Mr. Stratton clarified that this SOQ is directed to those individuals or companies that would occupy space on County property whereby the County incurs the cost of utilities and housekeeping and for which the County is not currently being compensated. He stated, "Under those circumstances it could be construed as a gift of taxpayer money to certain individuals or organizations. This is not directed toward non-profit groups or solicitors that individually come to County offices as they are not actually occupying space or causing expenses to be incurred by the County. Vice-Chairman Martin inquired if the purpose of the SOQ is to also find out if there are people who would like to have permanent concessions areas. Mr. Stratton stated that the County has already been contacted by one individual and it was felt that at this time it would not be appropriate to just arbitrarily say yes to one person. Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Martin, seconded by Supervisor Dawson, the Board unanimously authorized the advertisement of SOQ No. 060706-01 – Portable Food and Beverage Concession on County Property. Supervisor Dawson stated, "I see no guarantee in this that the person who comes in to rent space becomes a commercial vendor here and then what are we doing to the bake sales that are critical for the Boy Scouts or cheerleaders? No, we don't mean to, but I think there are lots of times that we end up passing 'stuff' that pretty soon they become so regulatory or somebody comes back and uses our own agenda item or our own resolution against us. I will study this in more detail and when it comes back to the Board. I may not be interested."

Mr. Stratton requested authorization for the advertisement of Request for Statement of Qualifications (SOQ) No. 061301-01 – Automated Vending Machine Services. He stated that as portable concessions were being reviewed it was determined that the County may not be recovering the total cost for

vending machines located within the County such as electricity, gas and housekeeping. He stated that the total cost to the County is \$80.00 per square foot per year and if the County doesn't recover those costs, the County may be giving a gift to certain organizations, businesses or individuals. Mr. Stratton felt it was appropriate to address the vending machines on a different SOQ. Vice-Chairman Martin inquired if the County is granting any exclusive rights with vending machines. Mr. Stratton stated that the County is not granting exclusive rights. Mr. Besich stated that the County could come up against a situation where there would be no more available space and when a negotiated contract ended with another company then the County would go through the re-advertisement process. Chairman Sanchez inquired as to the location of the existing vending machines within the County. Mr. Stratton stated that vending machines are located in various areas within the County building as well as in the County complex in Payson. Chairman Sanchez inquired whether there are written agreements in place at this time with an individual or company that provides the vending machines. Mr. Stratton stated that he hasn't been able to find an agreement adopted by the Board so this is an appropriate time to address this issue. He recommended that the Board authorize the advertisement of this SOQ. All current vendors will be sent the SOQ so they will have the opportunity to apply for space in which to place their machines. Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board unanimously authorized the advertisement of SOQ No. 061301-01 – Automated Vending Machine Services. Mr. Stratton thanked Ms. Bejarano for the extensive research she conducted with other cities and for writing this SOQ. On behalf of the Board, Chairman Sanchez thanked Ms. Bejarano for a job well done.

Mr. Stratton requested the adoption of Resolution No. 06-09-01, which authorizes the Public Works Division to submit a Grant Application in the amount of \$77,429.60 to the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) for transit assistance from ADOT Local Transportation Assistance Funds (LATF) II. He stated that the County receives these lottery funds annually and has

typically allocated the funds to different senior centers or transit programs within Gila County. Mr. Stratton advised that he is not requesting to allocate the funds to any specific program at this time, but rather he would like to research the programs that are available, not only out-of-house, but also in-house for the County. He requested that the Board authorize the matching fund requirement in the amount of \$19,357.40 that will come from the community grant assistance funds according to the Finance Director for total funding in the amount of \$96,787.00. Mr. Stratton stated that will allow him to proceed with the assurance that the funds will be received, which is an amount much higher than received last year. Mr. Besich stated that the County doesn't get a lot of credit for this funding; however, the funding does a lot of good by providing vans to transport senior citizens. Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Martin, seconded by Supervisor Dawson, the Board unanimously adopted Resolution No. 06-09-01. **(A copy of the Resolution is permanently on file in the Board of Supervisors' Office.)**

Mr. Stratton requested a discussion regarding a request from Ray France to perform work near tax parcel number 206-09-071. Chairman Sanchez stated that this item was on the agenda at his request as he has spoken to Mr. France several times about this issue and he inquired if discussions have been held with the County Attorney's Office. Mr. Stratton confirmed that discussions have been held with the County Attorney's Office. Chairman Sanchez stated that the Board will decide if it can or cannot comply with a request by Mr. France to continue maintenance on a drainage ditch. Mr. Stratton wanted to clarify for the record that the subject property has not been maintained since he's been employed with the County. He then gave a PowerPoint presentation showing the subject property owned by Mr. France and the concern of a wash that drains down from the Pinal Mountains between Mr. France's property and his neighbor, Mr. Amado. He showed pictures of where the drainage comes down during heavy rains onto Kennimur Street leaving large rocks and a lot of sand, which frequently blocks the roadway. He stated that after the last storm the County cleaned up Kennimur Street as

quickly as possible because the road was very jammed. Mr. Stratton stated that nothing is platted to allow the County the authority to go on Mr. France's private property. Research was done and no easements were recorded that may have not been platted on the map. He stated that in consulting with the County Attorney's Office the subject property is private and it would be illegal for the County to spend any Highway User Revenue Funds or half cent sales tax funds on said property. Mr. Stratton stated that he knows it is a problem for Mr. France; however, the County's hands are tied in his opinion. Chairman Sanchez read the information Mr. France had written on the Public Participation Form, as follows: This drainage ditch is a natural drainage for the Pinal Mountains. The ditch runs into Kennimur Avenue and down Van Winkle Canyon Road. There is only one way in and one way out for Gila County citizens. The County has maintained and cleaned out this ditch system for 90 to 100 years. The ditch needs to be cleaned out at least every two years. The safety and welfare of our citizens should be considered due to one way in and one way out of this area. Chairman Sanchez then called on Mr. France of Lower Miami. Mr. France stated that all of the debris accumulates from a natural drainage originating from the Pinal Mountains. Mr. France stated that when he was a member of the Gila County Board of Supervisors, small loaders were purchased to be used primarily for cleaning these types of ditches and he was never advised that this was an illegal practice. He emphasized that the County has cleaned this ditches "hundreds of times." He stated that if this ditch plugs up it will cause a natural disaster. He requested that the Board help him and his neighbors with the problem as they can't get out of their driveways at times to go to work as the ditch is a potential safety hazard. He stated that if someone were to become seriously ill there would be no way out. Mr. France stated that his neighbors all the way up the canyon have the same problem from this same water flow. He reiterated that, to his knowledge, the County has taken care of this drainage ditch for at least a hundred years and he was surprised that "all of a sudden" the County no longer maintains this drainage ditch. He requested that the Board assist him

in finding a solution to this problem for the citizens of the County. Mr. Stratton stated that he didn't want the Board or Mr. France to have the perception that the County was being obstinate or didn't want to help people, but when addressing an issue that could possibly be illegal, the County has to be very careful. He also stated that if the County goes into that wash, the Army Corps of Engineers would have jurisdiction over that area. Chairman Sanchez inquired if the drainage ditch runs entirely through private property. Mr. Stratton replied in the affirmative. Supervisor Dawson stated that past practices of the County performing courtesy blading in the subject area has been eliminated, but she feels the County wouldn't be improving Mr. France's property, but rather only trying to keep the road safe by controlling this drainage. She stated that it's a poor design to use this road as drainage, but there are a lot of similar drainages in the County. She questioned whether the County would have any obligation with regard to safety because the drainage flows onto a paved County road. She further questioned if there was a way to control the drainage and if it would be legally allowed. Mr. Stratton stated that he would have to defer that question to the County Attorney's Office. Should the County perform work in the drainage area, Mr. Stratton questioned whether the County would be liable for any erosion to Mr. France's or Mr. Amado's property or if their private buildings fell into the drainage area. Chairman Sanchez stated that the Board has to look at its responsibility in controlling these drainages and washes that flow into County roads. He stated that Mr. France's situation is not unique where the safety and health of the citizens are being jeopardized as a result of the County not performing maintenance within the drainage area. Mr. France stated that should the County decide against offering help with this situation, he and his neighbors may obtain legal counsel. His biggest concern is for the safety of the citizens and possible loss of life should a flash flood occur. He stated, "We're asking the Board of Supervisors as elected officials to help us." Supervisor Dawson inquired about the type of liability the County could incur with full knowledge that drainage and debris are coming onto a County road that is in a residential

area and the County is not taking care of the situation. Mr. Chambers stated that typically questions regarding liability are complicated and difficult to answer. He advised that it's possible there could be some liability if the County is aware of debris on a County highway, it is not removed and someone is injured. Mr. Chambers believes the main issue would be the action taken by the County to clear the roadway once it was recognized debris was deposited on the County highway. Mr. Chambers stated that he doesn't know if just because there is a drainage area there and it drains onto the highway and deposits debris there that the County would necessarily be that much more liable if an accident happened. He stated that the question would be, "What did the County do once the debris was deposited there?" Mr. Chambers stated that he believes Mr. Stratton has a good point in regard to the Army Corps of Engineers, what kind of waterway this is and would the County be facing fines if the County clears out this right of way? Mr. Chambers added that as it stands right now, the drainage is on private property and he doesn't believe the County can go in and clear private property. He stated that one other question for the Board to consider would be, "How far up the drainage wash would the County go?" He stated, "If it begins at the top of the Pinal Mountains, will taking care of the wash on this portion of the problem make a difference or would the County have to go all the way up to the top of the Pinal Mountains where it would make a difference and correct the problem?" He advised that some of these questions are probably engineering questions that he can't answer and would have to be considered as well. He stated that should legal authority exist for the Board to handle this situation, it should also consider that there are other locations within the County which have similar problems, so it is important for the Board to consider how this decision will affect future issues of this nature. Mr. Stratton added that the County's Timber and Copper Region's road maintenance crews know exactly which drainages get hit the hardest in a storm and they are the first areas on their list to be cleaned. He stated that in the Claypool area there are many of these situations. He stated, "Kennimur Street is one of the roads that the County tries to clean right away."

Chairman Sanchez reminded the Board that Kathy Whitman, consultant to the County, has been conducting a study on the number of waterways in the County and she has encountered similar problems with the Pinal and Miami washes. Chairman Sanchez advised that from conversations he has had with Mr. France and County engineering personnel, no easements could be located that would allow the County to conduct road maintenance on that property. Vice-Chairman Martin stated that anything the County does at this end is a “bandaid to the problem.” Vice-Chairman Martin stated, “In my opinion it’s the rattling out of the watershed above it. It’s the pitiful management by the federal government that allows this kind of ‘stuff’ to happen to start with...If you think you have problems now, watch what happens when it burns and it’s all bare grounds.” Mr. Besich stated that ownership is the key in this and it’s “who” has the easement. He stated, “If a specific area has been vacated to the County and you decide to get into it, then there are ways we could probably work this out. Our attorney has just cautioned us that there are probably 500 or a thousand more locations that will begin to just bubble up and it puts it back in your plate as far as being consistent.” Supervisor Dawson inquired whether Ms. Whitman could conduct a study of this situation and other similar situations in order to possibly resolve the situation. She stated, “If we just sit back waiting for a fire, then the flooding is going to happen. This isn’t a short term thing...We need to get some action going on the whole look at the Pinals and where the water is coming, what is coming and can it be channeled into being better used?” Mr. Besich stated, “Maybe to break the log jam a little, the Board would like to refer this back to our staff and Mr. Stratton. The engineering folks and I can get with Mr. France and Mr. Amado and maybe have some more discussions and actually take another hard look in all fairness to the property owners...I know Mr. France and his neighbors are frustrated because it’s something we used to do and now we can’t do for whatever reason and that might be a way for us to give them one more shot and put a few more heads together and see if we can come up with something that helps out the neighborhood.” Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-

Chairman Martin, the Board unanimously tabled this item and referred it to Mr. Besich and staff to further address the issue. Chairman Sanchez thanked Mr. France for his input into this conversation.

Mr. Stratton requested authorization for the advertisement of Call for Bids No. 040306-1 – Six Shooter Canyon Road Improvement Project. Mr. Stratton stated that this project began several years ago and although the County has run into a lot of problems, he believes most of the issues have been settled. He estimated that the job will cost \$1.1-\$1.3 million and will straighten, widen and realign the Six Shooter Canyon Road from the Forest Service property to the Gila County Community College. Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Martin, seconded by Supervisor Dawson, the Board unanimously authorized the advertisement of Call for Bids No. 040306-1 for the Six Shooter Canyon Road Improvement Project.

Mr. Stratton requested discussion regarding the Six Shooter Bridge Replacement Project. He gave a PowerPoint presentation showing a memorandum from the City of Globe dated August 4, 2006. He then showed a letter from the City of Globe dated November 1999 and signed by Manoj Vyas, Globe City Manager, who is currently the Globe City Manager. The November 1999 letter, which was directed to John Trujillo, Director of Engineering, stated that the City of Globe has no objection to the roadway realignment for the Six Shooter Bridge Replacement Project. Mr. Stratton then showed an aerial view of the location of Six Shooter Road, Ice House Canyon, the Globe Community Center, the old bridge location and the proposed realignment of the road. The next slide showed the amount of money the County has spent on this project to date, as follows: 1) Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) - \$8,589.45; 2) ATL Inc. - \$4,712.00; 3) Atlas Enterprise Company - \$335.00; 4) David Evans and Associates - \$132,014.23; 5) Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for the National Flood Insurance Program - \$4,000.00; and, 6) Tetra Tech - \$309,420.94 for a total of \$459,071.62 that Gila County has expended. Mr. Stratton stated that the County expended these funds in good faith due to the non-objection of the City of Globe to grant the County an

easement as the City's property is located on the flats. He stated that the City of Globe did question the entrance to the Globe Community Center and after looking at every possible alternative, the County proposed a solution that seemed to be agreeable to the City of Globe. The next slide showed the funding sources as follows: \$1,000,000 from the Bridge Replacement Fund; \$200,000 from Central Arizona Association of Governments; \$500,000 from Hazard Elimination Safety; \$487,500 from an ADOT HELP loan (not yet drawn; 5 year pay back); and, \$625,000 Gila County match. Gila County has already spent \$460,000 on design work. Mr. Stratton stated that in regard to the HELP loan, at last month's ADOT board meeting, John McGee, ADOT's Finance Manager, gave a presentation on the HELP loan fund. There is \$185 million currently in the HELP loan fund and \$65 million that has not been drawn. He stated that the Jim Martin, Chairman of the ADOT Board, questioned Mr. McGee about those loans and why they have not been drawn as there is a 2-year limit once the money has been granted. Mr. Stratton stated that he received a call from ADOT today advising that the County either needs to use this money or lose it. He must call ADOT tomorrow and advise them of the results of this meeting. The next slide showed the Pinal Creek Corridor, which is a study that was requested by the City of Globe in conjunction with the County that studies the road going from where Walliman Road takes off and turns into Saguaro Road out to the Highway 70/77 junction. He stated that C. L. Williams and Associates performed the study 3 years ago and the County funded \$16,000 to \$18,000 of the \$29,000 cost. Mr. Stratton again referred to the August 4, 2006, memorandum from the City of Globe, which states, "It is recommended that the City Council approve the right-of-way easement requested by Gila County for its new Ice House Canyon Bridge Replacement Project & Six Shooter Canyon Realignment Project." He stated that the County has expended \$459,000 with the understanding that when it came to the final design and approval from FEMA (because this project does impact the flood plain), the County would then receive the easement from the City of Globe. He stated that the City of Globe is now trying to tie the granting of the easement to other

issues as noted in this memorandum by demanding that Mr. Stratton report to the City of Globe monthly in writing, and other items as noted in the memorandum. Mr. Stratton stated that he felt it was appropriate to bring this issue to the Board for direction as to what the Board wishes him to do, whether to comply with the City of Globe's requests or whether to abandon the project and turn back the \$2 million in grants and HELP loans and funds. He stated, "I apologize for spending over \$400,000 in County money on a project that isn't going to happen." He advised that Supervisor Dawson, Steve Besich and, Steve Sanders, Public Works Division Deputy Director, met with City of Globe Mayor Stan Gibson and City of Globe Manager Manoj Vyas to discuss this issue. Chairman Sanchez questioned the reason the easement is needed from the City of Globe. Mr. Stratton stated that the easement is needed across the City of Globe property in order to erect the new bridge and to align the new road. Chairman Sanchez inquired if this agreement was a "word-of-mouth" type of agreement. Mr. Stratton replied, "The City of Globe has never been verbally opposed to this project and this letter shows that the City of Globe would be willing to grant the County an easement and because of this letter the funds were expended both prior to my working for the County as well as after I came to work for the County." Chairman Sanchez asked Mr. Stratton to read the letter for the record. Mr. Stratton stated that the letter was addressed to John Trujillo, Director of Engineering, and is dated November 19, 1999, which reads as follows: Dear John: The City of Globe, as the owner/operator of the City Park and the Besh-Be-Gowah Pueblo Ruins adjacent to the Jess Hayes Road, has no objection to the proposed bridge replacement project sponsored by Gila County. The City also has no objection to the roadway alignment as the result of the bridge replacement project. Mr. Stratton stated that this letter was required to begin the application process for the HELP loan whereby ADOT accepted the County's grant application and awarded grant funds to the County. Mr. Stratton stated, "Without the letter to show there was agreement with the property owner to grant the County an easement, those funds would not have been allocated to Gila County." He referred to the map and stated

that one of the requests by Mayor Gibson is that a crossing be installed between the Ice House Canyon leg and the Six Shooter Canyon leg. He said this was discussed in the Broad Street Extension Project, Phase II, and the County made a commitment 3 years ago to fund a portion of the Broad Street Extension Project, Phase II, in the amount of 40 percent of the grant match not to exceed \$150,000. He stated that the reasoning was that what while it was good for Walliman Road, it would also be good for the citizens in Six Shooter Canyon to have another exit in the event of a fire. Mr. Stratton stated, "This request from the Mayor Gibson is another condition they are requesting to be met before the City of Globe will grant the easement. The City of Globe is also requesting that the road where the trestle is located near Walliman Road be extended out to Highway 70/77." Mr. Stratton stated that he doesn't feel he has the authority to obligate the County for those requests; that is a decision for the Board to make. Mr. Stratton stated that he feels the County has complied with every request of the City of Globe up to this point. Vice-Chairman Martin questioned if the City of Globe is objecting to the bridge or the realignment in any way. Mr. Stratton stated that at first the City of Globe had some questions as to why the bridge couldn't be left as it is. At the request of Mayor Gibson, the County received a letter from ADOT stating that this is a bridge replacement project and a new bridge could not be built without removing the old one. The City of Globe also did not like the location of the new bridge. The County received another letter from ADOT, as they are the pass-through agency for the County in receiving federal funds, stating that the bridge was applied for in a particular location, which Mr. Stratton pointed to on the map. He advised that in order to move the location of the bridge, the whole project would have to be scrapped and started over. He showed the locations of the proposed bridge to the Board. Mr. Stratton stated that every property owner in that area has agreed to give the County easements to straighten out the road. Vice-Chairman Martin stated, "Their quarrel is they want to make sure that our feet are to the fire on getting a bridge across Six Shooter also some time in the future and commit to that basically." Mr. Stratton affirmed

that is his understanding. Supervisor Dawson stated that she had a meeting with Mayor Gibson about the section in the agreement on the second page and asked him to reverse the City and County and questioned him if the City of Globe would provide semi-annual written reports on its progress on this project to the County and both entities will jointly monitor the City's reasonable efforts and steady progress towards fulfillment of its obligation for the above referenced capital improvement project in a timely manner. Supervisor Dawson stated that Mayor Gibson was not agreeable to those changes and she doesn't know how the County and City arrived at this impasse. Vice-Chairman Martin inquired if the City of Globe is betting that the County won't "throw that \$459,000 away." Supervisor Dawson had the same concern. Supervisor Dawson stated that the fact remains that the bridge is located within the City of Globe. Mr. Besich stated that on two occasions he thought the County had "a wink and shake" and had a deal; however, while attending a City of Globe Council meeting he advised that "it was like there had never been a meeting." It was noted that this project has been ongoing since 1999 or prior. Vice-Chairman Martin inquired if the other land owners reside within the City limits. Mr. Stratton replied that most of the residents around the bridge area are residents of Globe, but directly across from those homes a portion of the road is located in the City and a portion of it is located in the County. Vice-Chairman Martin inquired if the project rests upon land owned by the City of Globe. Mr. Stratton stated that if the Globe City Council denies this easement and the County does not comply with all of the City of Globe's requests, the \$2.8 million funding will be returned. Vice-Chairman Martin questioned whether the property could be condemned or if eminent domain would apply. Mr. Stratton replied that eminent domain would not apply. Mr. Stratton again apologized for spending the money and stated that if the Board decides to return the money, he would suggest that the Board turn this over to the County Attorney to decide whether the County could recoup the half million dollars spent in good faith. Supervisor Dawson stated that she wouldn't want to go that route. Chairman Sanchez read from the Intergovernmental

Agreement which states that it is essential to plan, design, and construct a new 100-year drainage crossing structure across the Six Shooter Wash. Mr. Stratton stated that wasn't completely accurate and what was actually agreed upon was the need to meet County standards, which is a structure that would meet a 25-year event with no more than 1 foot of water under the structure or a shotcrete con-arch, pre-fab bridge. Mr. Stratton was unsure where the 100-year event originated as he did not agree to that stipulation. Supervisor Dawson stated, "I really hate to throw away \$459,000 and hate to address 'well let's go and see if we can recoup the money.' We need to work with the City of Globe. I don't know if we can come back with a different IGA highlighting the things that are not okay. I know we need to get some answers quickly." In discussing how soon the Board would need an answer for ADOT on the funding, Mr. Stratton advised that he needed to call ADOT back tomorrow with the results of the Board meeting. Vice-Chairman Martin inquired if the Board could have an additional 10 days. Mr. Stratton stated that was probable. Should the Board request Mr. Stratton to contact the City of Globe to place this item on the City Council's meeting agenda for reconsideration, Mr. Stratton advised that ADOT may allow him to delay the Board's reply for 1-2 weeks. Beyond that time frame, Mr. Stratton advised that the Chairman of the ADOT governing board directed staff to either have the money drawn on these loans or return them because ADOT has projects that are going unfunded due to projects such as this one that are sitting stagnant. Chairman Sanchez inquired if the County was represented at the Globe Council meeting. Mr. Stratton stated the Mr. Sanders inquired if anyone from the County needed to be present and volunteered to attend, but he was told that would not be necessary. Chairman Sanchez agreed that the County should request a 10-day extension with ADOT. He also stated that the County should submit a request to the City of Globe for this issue to be placed on the next City of Globe Council meeting agenda. Mr. Besich stated that he could remove all of the items that are totally unacceptable to the County from the IGA and have Mr. Chambers put it into the proper language and send it to the Globe City Council stating

the County's position. Vice-Chairman Martin stated, "We don't want to give up the \$459,000, but we will." Mr. Besich stated that this is one issue the County would be happy to sit down and continue to work on with the City of Globe, but the County is not tying one issue to another. Supervisor Dawson inquired if a letter could be written saying that the Board is offering a revision to the IGA, but is willing to forfeit the \$459,000 if necessary. Mr. Besich stated that since the letter would be going to the Globe City Council that he would help draft the letter, but in the motion the Board might add that the letter should state that a revised IGA is being submitted for the Globe City Council's consideration. Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Martin, seconded by Supervisor Dawson, the Board unanimously directed staff to prepare a revised Intergovernmental Agreement accompanied with a letter stating the reasons and concerns of the County to be presented to the County Attorney and County Engineering staff for approval and then submitted to the City of Globe. Mr. Stratton advised the Board that if the Globe City Council's position remains unchanged and an easement is not granted to the County, the County has authorized Tetra Tech to redesign the portion of the road for the Six Shooter Canyon Road Improvement Project that is going out for advertisement at this time. Chairman Sanchez inquired how people would enter the Globe Community Center with the new bridge. Mr. Stratton stated that with the revision, all of the roads that come into the County road will come in at a 90 degree angle, which is a much safer angle.

Mr. Stratton requested the adoption of Resolution No. 06-09-04, which pertains to a roadway exchange involving Carolyn Dalmolin, James Schlamann and Gila County, and to authorize the Chairman's signature on the Resolution and related documents to include 2 Warranty Deeds and 2 Quit Claim Deeds. He stated that Carolyn Dalmolin and James Schlamann are the land developers of the old DeMario ranch on Russell Road. He provided maps of the area to the Board. Mr. Stratton stated that it behooves the County and Ms. Dalmolin to make this land exchange. He stated that the County Attorney has reviewed the documents and according to statutes it is legal to exchange the

properties. It will give the County an easement and Ms. Dalmolin will have the ability to do some land divisions which cannot be done until this exchange is completed. Supervisor Dawson mentioned that the old stage coach used this road, which was road to the Pinal Mountains; however, it was discovered that the road was not where the road easement was located so the County is exchanging property with Ms. Dalmolin in order for the County to put the road in the correct place. Mr. Stratton advised that the road would change very little; however, the County could widen the road in the future if it was deemed necessary. He further advised that there is language included in the agreement which specifies that if the road is widened and there is excess material, Mr. Schlamann has authorized the County to dispose of the material on his property as it is located in a flood plain, which would benefit both parties. He stated that there is no commitment as to the time frame for widening the road. Mr. Stratton recommended that the Board approve the land exchange. Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board unanimously adopted Resolution No. 06-09-04. **(A copy of the Resolution is permanently on file in the Board of Supervisors' Office.)**

Marian Sheppard, Chief Deputy Clerk, requested the approval of an application submitted by the Kiwanis Club of Tonto Basin to transfer the location for a bingo license that has been issued to the Kiwanis Club. She stated that this was basically a house-cleaning item. Ms. Sheppard stated that she received an application from the Kiwanis Club for a transfer of location for its bingo license. She stated that in talking to the Chairman and Co-Chairman of the Kiwanis Club and a representative from the Arizona Department of Revenue (ADOR) there was confusion between those parties whereby ADOR issued another active bingo license. It was realized after the fact that the application was for a new location, so ADOR requested that the Kiwanis Club submit this form to the local governing Board for approval. Ms. Sheppard stated that she contacted other counties because Gila County has rarely received a bingo license application and was advised that the other counties also don't receive many bingo license applications. Ms. Sheppard spoke with

the ADOR representative and asked him to recommend the County departments he feels should review this type of application. The ADOR representative advised that a review of the new location be conducted by the County's Planning and Zoning Department to ensure there are no zoning violations, such as adequate parking. Ms. Sheppard met with Bob Gould, Gila County Planning and Zoning Department Manager, and he approved the request. She stated that the bingo license has been issued, everything is in effect and recommended that the Board approve the application, which would be forwarded to ADOR for its files. This item was scheduled as a public hearing, as it is a statutory requirement for a bingo license change of location. At this time, Chairman Sanchez asked for public comment; none was offered. Chairman Sanchez closed the public hearing and asked for a motion. Upon motion by Supervisor Dawson, seconded by Vice-Chairman Martin, the Board unanimously approved the bingo license transfer application for the Kiwanis Club of Tonto Basin.

Upon motion by Vice-Chairman Martin, seconded by Supervisor Dawson, the Board unanimously approved Consent Agenda item numbers 17A-17P, as follows:

- A. Approval of two Special Event Liquor License Applications submitted by the Gila County Rodeo Committee to serve liquor at the Gila County Fairgrounds for the following events: SWPBR Bull Riding on September 15, 2006, and Junior Open Kids Rodeo on September 16, 2006.
- B. Approval of Amendment No. 2 to Contract No. E5345511 between the Gila County Division of Health and Community Services and the Arizona Department of Economic Security to extend the Contract from July 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007, to provide GEST (Gila Employment and Special Training) services to clients.
- C. Approval of Amendment No. 10 to Contract No. E5706004 between the Gila County Division of Health and Community Services and the Arizona Department of Economic Security to increase the Contract from \$3,136,156.00 to \$4,295,580.00, an increase in Dislocated Worker, Rapid

Response and Set-A-Side funds for the funding term April 1, 2005, through June 30, 2007.

- D. Approval and authorization of the Chairman's signature on an Environmental Review Re-Evaluation, which is a yearly requirement by the Arizona Department of Housing for all housing rehabilitation contracts.
- E. Approval of Amendment No. 5 to Contract No. HG361075 between the Gila County Division of Health and Community Services and the Arizona Department of Health Services to revise the budget for the Women, Infants and Children's Program for fiscal year 2007.
- F. Approval to renew a Support and Maintenance Agreement between the Gila County Recorder and LASON Service Administration for a Minolta RP503 microfilm reader printer in the amount of \$895.00 for the period September 2, 2006, through September 1, 2007.
- G. Approval of a State Grants-In-Aid Application (Grant No. 07-A-4) between the Gila County Library District and the Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records, in the amount of \$23,000.00 for the State fiscal year 2007.
- H. Approval of the Gila/Pinal Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Adult Program Contract between Gila County acting through the Gila/Pinal WIA Board and Central Arizona Association of Governments in the amount of \$302,268.60 to provide employment and training services to WIA eligible adults in Gila and Pinal Counties for the period July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007.
- I. Approval of the Gila/Pinal WIA Youth Program Contract between Gila County acting through the Gila/Pinal WIA Board and Central Arizona Association of Governments in the amount of \$292,477.20 to provide employment and training services to WIA eligible youth in Gila and Pinal Counties for the period April 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007.
- J. Approval and authorization of the Chairman's signature on the Closeout Report for the Housing Trust Fund Program Contract No. 551-05 between the Gila County Office of Community Services and the Arizona Department of Housing.

- K. Approval and authorization of the Chairman's signature on a Letter of Intent to apply for 2007 Community Development Block Grant Regional funds.
- L. Approval to appoint Jonathan Barber to the Gila County Personnel Commission to replace Dan Hill whose term expires December 31, 2009.
- M. Approval of the July 25, 2006, BOS meeting minutes.
- N. Approval of the July 2006 monthly departmental activity reports submitted by the Payson Regional Justice of the Peace and the Clerk of the Superior Court.
- O. Approval of personnel reports/actions for the week of August 28, 2006, and September 4, 2006, as follows:

August 28, 2006:

Departure from County Service:

1. Clerk III – Recorder – 08-17-06 – General Fund - Kathryn Davis – Hire 07-31-06 – Failure to complete probationary period
2. Early Voting Clerk – Recorder – 08-10-06 – General Fund - Dorothy Hydeman – Temporary position
3. Early Voting Clerk – Recorder – 08-10-06 – General Fund - Betty Welker – Temporary position
4. Clerk – Clerk of Superior Court – 08-11-06 – Document Conversion Fund - Elizabeth Baeza – Temporary position
5. Consultant – Clerk of Superior Court – 12-31-04 – General Fund - Ruth Meyer – Temporary position
6. Laborer – Constituent Services II – 08-18-06 – Constituent Services II Fund - Thomas Hinderberger – Temporary position

Temporary Hire to County Service:

7. Clerk – Clerk of Superior Court – 08-28-06 – Document Conversion Fund - Tara DeMario
8. Clerk – Emergency Management – 08-28-06 – General Fund - Jennika Barcon

SHERIFF'S PERSONNEL ACTION ITEMS:

Hire to County Service:

9. Deputy Sheriff – Payson S.O. – 09-04-06 – General Fund - David Hornung – reinstate to budgeted position
10. Deputy Sheriff Cadet – Payson S.O. – 09-05-06 – General Fund - Robert Lewing – Replaces Dan Adams
11. 911 Dispatcher – Payson S.O. – 09-05-06 – General Fund - Krista Garcia – Replaces Kathy Womack

Departmental Transfer:

12. Deputy Sheriff Sgt. – Globe S.O. – 08-21-06 – General Fund - Keith Thompson – replaces Dan Voelker
13. Clerk II to Records Clerk II – Globe S.O. – 08-21-06 – General Fund - Katherine Johnson – replaces Tammy Glen
14. Clerk/Secretary Part Time to Clerk II – Globe S.O. – 08-21-06 – General Fund - Joann Fane – replaces Katherine Johnson

September 4, 2006:

Departure from County Service:

1. Voter Outreach Assistant – Elections – 08-21-06 – General Fund - Lewis Talgo – Temporary position
2. Clerk – Board of Supervisors – 08-21-06 – General Fund - Summer Rocha-Gutierrez – Temporary position
3. Juvenile Detention Officer I – Probation – 08-13-06 – General Fund - Ema Peru – Hire 09-06-04 – Resigned for other employment
4. Juvenile Detention Officer I – Probation – 08-12-06 – General Fund - Amy Worchester-King – Hire 06-04-03 – Resigned for other employment
5. Legal Secretary I – County Attorney – 09-08-06 – Deferred Prosecution Fund - Pamela Newell – Hire 05-18-06 – Resigned – reason unknown

Hire to County Service:

6. Deputy Director/Planner – Community Development – 09-04-06 – General Fund - Robert Gould – replaces Terry Smith
7. Solid Waste Service Worker – Solid Waste Management – 08-28-06 – Enterprise Fund - Patricia Morris – replaces Claudia Brooks

Temporary Hire to County Service:

8. Rural Addressing Assistant – Rural Addressing – 09-06-06 – General Fund - Dawn Van Hassel

End Probationary Period:

9. Elections Assistant – Elections – 09-04-06 – General Fund - Margaret Lopez
10. Legal Secretary I – County Attorney – 09-04-06 – General Fund – Jennifer Eselgroth
11. Caseworker – Child Support – 09-04-06 – Incentive Fund – Rachel Freeman

Request Permission to Post:

12. Community Health Educator – Health – position vacated by Tracy Miller

Position Review:

13. Approve salary adjustments @ 95% as recommended by Public Sector Personnel Consultants effective 09-04-06.

- P. Approval of the finance reports/demands/transfers for the weeks of August 28, 2006, and September 4, 2006, (separate handout) as follows:

August 28, 2006:

\$423,261.74 was disbursed for County expenses by voucher numbers X152679 through X152727, X391737 through X392017. There were no hand-issued warrants.

September 4, 2006:

\$924,387.55 was disbursed for County expenses by voucher numbers X152728 through X152909, X392018 through X392086, X392089 through X392162, X392164 through X392 through X392220. There were no hand-issued warrants. **(An itemized list of vouchers is permanently on file with the Board of Supervisors.)** Supervisor Dawson noted that she had a question on some of the previous financial items that were approved by the Board; however, she did not state what her question was at this time.

At this time each Board member and the Chief Administrator were presented the opportunity to give a brief summary of current events as allowed by A.R.S. §38-431.02(K). No action was taken by the Board.

There being no further business to come before the Board, Chairman Sanchez adjourned the meeting at 4:26 p.m.

Jose M. Sanchez, Chairman

ATTEST:

Steven L. Besich, County Manager/Clerk